

**CLARK COUNTY  
MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN**

**RIPARIAN RESERVE UNITS  
MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Version 1.0**

**February 2015**



desert conservation  
PROGRAM

**CLARK COUNTY  
MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN**

**RIPARIAN RESERVE UNITS  
MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Version 1.0**

**February 2015**

**Acknowledgements**

The Desert Conservation Program would like to recognize Rob Sutter and Mary Peters for their work in the development of the Riparian Reserve Management Plan that was provided as deliverable D55 under contract 2009-ECO-801D

Recommended citation: Clark County. 2015. Clark County Desert Conservation Program Riparian Reserve Units Management Plan. February 2015.



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This management plan identifies the actions to manage the Riparian Reserve Units, as part of the Clark County Reserve System under the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP). This management plan links management actions to the conservation goals and objectives to restore, conserve, and protect desert riparian habitats for the MSHCP covered riparian birds, which include southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), Arizona Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii arizonae*), blue grosbeak (*Passerina caerulea*), summer tanager (*Piranga rubra*), and vermilion flycatcher (*Pyrocephalus rubinus*). It defines management responsibilities and serves as a guide for the management of the riparian properties acquired by Clark County along the Muddy River and Virgin River to fulfill Condition K of the Incidental Take Permit.

This management plan describes the evolution of the MSHCP, the background of acquiring the riparian properties, and provides detailed descriptions of the reserve units including physical, biological, water, and cultural resources; land uses; and threats to the natural resources. The primary section of this plan is the identification of management goals, objectives, and actions. As listed in the table below, the goals are broad, general statements that establish the management direction of the reserve unit, whereas the objectives provide further explanation regarding the intent of the goals. Numerous actions are planned that define day-to-day management activities and identify additional actions, steps, and tools to meet these objectives and to achieve the goals. Each management action is linked to measures that assess the effectiveness of the action, and ultimately the success of this management plan.

Goal 1	Manage reserve units to provide habitat for the six MSHCP covered avian species
Objectives	1.1 Restore, create, and enhance habitat for riparian bird species
Goal 2	Manage reserve units to support resource values for other MSHCP and sensitive species when practicable
Objectives	2.1 Manage habitat to benefit other MSHCP species
	2.2 Manage habitat to avoid harm to aquatic species
Goal 3	Manage reserve units to meet conservation and landowner obligations
Objectives	3.1 Manage reserve units to control invasive plant species and noxious weeds
	3.2 Manage reserve units to reduce threat of fire and maintain safe conditions
	3.3 Manage property rights and property infrastructure
	3.4 Build and maintain positive relationships in the community
Goal 4	Expand property holdings of desert riparian habitats for MSHCP covered species
Objectives	4.1 Acquire title to private lands from willing sellers
	4.2 Acquire conservation easements to public and private lands with willing landowners as appropriate



## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°F	degrees Fahrenheit
AFY	acre-feet per year
BCC	Board of County Commissioners
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
cfs	cubic feet per second
DCP	Desert Conservation Program
GIS	geographic information system
I-15	Interstate 15
LiDAR	light detection and ranging
MSHCP	Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan
NDEP	Nevada Division of Environmental Protection
NDOW	Nevada Department of Wildlife
NRS	Nevada Revised Statutes
Permittees	Clark County, the cities of Boulder City, Henderson, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, Mesquite, and the Nevada Department of Transportation
SNPLMA	Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act
SNWA	Southern Nevada Water Authority
SR	State Route
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service



# CONTENTS

Executive Summary ..... i

Acronyms and Abbreviations.....ii

Contents.....iii

List of Figures .....iv

List of Tables .....iv

List of Appendices ..... v

1.0 Introduction ..... 1

    1.1 History of the Riparian Reserve Units ..... 2

        1.1.1 Muddy River Reserve Unit ..... 2

        1.1.2 Virgin River..... 2

    1.2 Guiding Documents ..... 2

        1.2.1 Muddy River Guiding Documents ..... 3

        1.2.2 Virgin River Guiding Documents..... 3

        1.2.3 Applicable Regulations ..... 4

    1.3 Management Roles and Responsibilities ..... 4

    1.4 Implementation Plan and Budget Process ..... 5

2.0 Reserve Unit Description ..... 6

    2.1 Muddy River Reserve Unit ..... 6

    2.2 Virgin River Reserve Unit ..... 8

    2.3 Expansion Criteria ..... 11

    2.4 Land Use..... 12

        2.4.1 Existing and Adjacent Land Use..... 12

        2.4.2 Future Adjacent Land Use ..... 14

        2.4.3 Land Use Permit Requests..... 18

    2.5 Physical Setting..... 18

        2.5.1 Climate ..... 18

        2.5.2 Geology and Soils..... 19

        2.5.3 Topography ..... 20

        2.5.4 Water Resources..... 20

        2.5.5 Cultural Resources ..... 25

    2.6 Biological Resources ..... 25

        2.6.1 Vegetation..... 25

        2.6.2 Wildlife..... 28

        2.6.3 Threats ..... 30

    2.5 Public Services and Safety..... 33



2.6.1 Fire and Medical..... 33

2.6.2 Law Enforcement ..... 33

2.6.3 Utilities..... 33

2.6.4 Safety ..... 34

3.0 Management Goals, Objectives, and Actions..... 35

3.1 Goals and Objectives ..... 35

3.2 Discussion of Objectives and List of Management Actions..... 35

4.0 References..... 40

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Muddy River Reserve Unit..... 7

Figure 2. Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 ..... 9

Figure 3. Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2 ..... 9

Figure 4. Land Ownership, Muddy River Reserve Unit..... 13

Figure 5. Land Ownership, Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 ..... 16

Figure 6. Land Ownership, Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2 ..... 17

Figure 7. Water Resources of the Muddy River Reserve Unit ..... 21

Figure 8. Water Resources of the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 ..... 23

Figure 9. Water Resources of the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2..... 24

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Riparian Avian Species Covered by the MSHCP..... 1

Table 2. Assessor's Parcel Numbers, Muddy River Reserve Unit..... 6

Table 3. Assessor's Parcel Numbers, Virgin River Reserve Unit ..... 8

Table 4. Acquisition Selection Criteria ..... 11

Table 5. Native Plants in the Riparian Reserve Units..... 26

Table 6. Noxious and Invasive Weeds..... 28

Table 7. Birds Observed in the Muddy River Reserve Unit ..... 29

Table 8. Riparian Reserve Units Management Goals and Objectives ..... 35



## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

- Appendix A. Meets and bounds survey descriptions
- Appendix B. Acquisition Selection Tool
- Appendix C. Permit Request Process
- Appendix D. Contact Information for Management, Safety, and Services
- Appendix E. Management Actions and Effectiveness Measures



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK





## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Clark County Desert Conservation Program (DCP) manages Endangered Species Act compliance on behalf of Clark County and the cities of Boulder City, Henderson, Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, Mesquite, and the Nevada Department of Transportation (collectively, the Permittees) through implementation of the Clark County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) and associated Section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permit. Condition K of the incidental take permit stipulates that take of covered avian species is conditioned upon the acquisition of private lands in desert riparian habitats along the Muddy and Virgin rivers and the Meadow Valley Wash (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS] 2001). To comply with this permit condition, the DCP has acquired properties with riparian habitat along the Virgin and Muddy rivers<sup>1</sup> in Clark County, Nevada. These properties comprise the Muddy River Reserve Unit and the Virgin River Reserve Unit (collectively, the Riparian Reserve Units), part of the overall Clark County Reserve System portfolio, which serves to mitigate impacts to covered species and conserve habitats and important wildlife connectivity corridors.

The purpose of this management plan is to identify actions by which to manage the Riparian Reserve Units in a manner that restores, conserves, and protects desert riparian habitats for avian species covered by the MSHCP, as listed in Table 1. Species listed in Table 1 are the focus of management goals and objectives for the Riparian Reserve Units; however, it is expected that management for the protection of these species will provide benefits for many other species, including additional MSHCP covered species.

**Table 1.**  
**Riparian Avian Species Covered by the MSHCP**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Status*
Southwestern willow flycatcher	<i>Empidonax traillii extimus</i>	FE, SE
Yellow-billed cuckoo	<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>	FT, SS
Blue grosbeak	<i>Passerina caerulea</i>	-
Summer tanager	<i>Piranga rubra</i>	-
Vermillion flycatcher	<i>Pyrocephalus rubinus</i>	-
Arizona Bell's vireo	<i>Vireo bellii arizonae</i>	-
*FE – federally listed endangered FT – federally listed threatened SE – state listed endangered SS – state listed sensitive		

This management plan links management actions to the conservation goals and objectives for the reserve units, and establishes overall management direction and clarifies management responsibilities. It serves as a guide for nondiscretionary activities and defines future discretionary actions to achieve desired riparian habitat conditions. Implementation of some management actions will continue to be detailed in separate and specific restoration or management plans. This management plan and the management goals, objectives, and actions will be reviewed, and revised

<sup>1</sup> To date, Meadow Valley Wash properties have not been included in the Riparian Reserve Units because willing sellers of suitable habitat within the Meadow Valley Wash system have not been identified.



as appropriate every five years by the DCP. Updates to this management plan may also occur whenever new information that influences management is available (adaptive management) and when additional properties are acquired for desert riparian habitat conservation. Revisions and updates will ensure this management plan is current with changes to internal and surrounding land use, changes in ecological conditions (floods, fire), changes as a result of management activities (closed roads, restoration of habitat), and changes based on new information from on-site research studies and other published sources.

## **1.1 HISTORY OF THE RIPARIAN RESERVE UNITS**

The desert riparian watersheds of the Muddy River, Virgin River, and Meadow Valley Wash are identified as unique habitats and resources addressed by the MSHCP. The Biological and Conference Opinion (USFWS 2000) for the MSHCP was issued on the basis that private land within these riparian watersheds would be acquired for conservation of the southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), Arizona Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii arizonae*), blue grosbeak (*Passerina caerulea*), summer tanager (*Piranga rubra*), and vermilion flycatcher (*Pyrocephalus rubinus*).

### **1.1.1 Muddy River Reserve Unit**

The first phase of the MSHCP's implementation included the Muddy River Land Acquisition Program (USFWS 2000). The Nature Conservancy (TNC) assisted Clark County in implementing this program by working with willing sellers to explore the use of a variety of real estate options to implement conservation actions on priority parcels. TNC, on Clark County's behalf, acquired nine parcels of land, including water and development rights, from three willing sellers in 2002 through 2004, using funds from the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (SNPLMA) account for purchase of environmentally sensitive lands. The nine parcels of land are comprised of 116.48 acres. TNC managed these properties until they were transferred to Clark County in 2010 and 2011.

### **1.1.2 Virgin River**

As of December 2014, Clark County has acquired title to 168.7 acres distributed across six parcels in two locations in the lower Virgin River watershed. The two locations are hereinafter referred to as Subunit 1 and Subunit 2, based on order of acquisition.

Subunit 1 consists of one parcel that is 80.22 acres in size. This property was transferred from Clark County Parks and Recreation Department to the DCP in 2011.

Subunit 2 consists of 5 parcels, labeled 2-A through 2-E based on physical location from west to east. Parcel 2-B was transferred to the DCP in 2010 from Clark County Department of Public Works and the remaining parcels 2-A, and 2-C through 2-E were transferred to the DCP in December 2014 from the Clark County Treasurer's Office.

## **1.2 GUIDING DOCUMENTS**

The primary guiding documents for the MSHCP include:



- MSHCP and Environmental Impact Statement (Clark County 2000a)
- Incidental Take Permit No. TE034927-0 (USFWS 2001)
- MSHCP Implementing Agreement (Clark County 2000b)
- Biological and Conference Opinion (USFWS 2000)

These documents are available electronically at:

<http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/Depts/dcp/Pages/GuidingDocuments.aspx>

The Section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permit is the primary guiding document that specifies the acquisition and management of riparian properties. Condition J.2 of the incidental take permit requires the “development and/or revision of conservation management plans that identify the management and monitoring actions” for riparian habitats of the Muddy River, Virgin River, and Meadow Valley Wash. In fulfillment of Condition J.2, the DCP has prepared and/or participated in the development of the Virgin River Conservation Management Assessment (Entrix Inc. 2008), Final Upper Muddy River Watershed Assessment (Provencher et al. 2005), and the Meadow Valley Wash Final Baseline Ecological Assessment (BIO-WEST Inc. 2005).

The “acquisition of private lands in desert riparian habitats” along these waterways for covered MSHCP avian species is mandated under Condition K.1 of the incidental take permit. Further, the MSHCP and the Biological and Conference Opinion describe measures to minimize and mitigate impacts of take, while the MSHCP and the Implementing Agreement specify the responsible parties to undertake these measures. These measures include acquisition by Clark County of conservation easements or other interests in real property by purchase, exchange, or donation to meet conservation goals and objectives necessary or appropriate for riparian birds.

### **1.2.1 Muddy River Guiding Documents**

Several planning documents have been developed for the Muddy River Reserve Unit on behalf of the DCP. These include the Upper Muddy River Site Conservation Plan (TNC 1999; 2000), the Draft Preliminary Management Plan for Upper Muddy River Aquatic and Floodplain Habitats in Clark County, Nevada (Wainscott 2004), and the Integrated Science Assessment for the Upper Muddy River, Clark County, Nevada (Provencher et al. 2005). Preliminary restoration and conservation actions on the Muddy River Reserve Unit conducted by TNC, and funded by the DCP on behalf of the MSHCP, were based primarily on these documents.

### **1.2.2 Virgin River Guiding Documents**

The DCP has funded several planning documents to guide management actions within the Virgin River Reserve Unit. In 2008, the Virgin River Conservation Management Assessment (Entrix Inc. 2008) was developed in conjunction with land management agencies to identify the management and monitoring actions needed for the desert riparian habitat within the Virgin River watershed. In 2013, two reports were produced for Subunit 1, located in the Lower Mormon Mesa area: the Mormon Mesa Ecohydrology Assessment Final Report (Orr et al. 2013b) was produced to recommend locations and strategies for restoration for the area. While the Clark County Mormon



Mesa Parcel Restoration Plan (Orr et al. 2013a) was developed to provide property-specific restoration planning recommendations for Subunit 1.

### **1.2.3 Applicable Regulations**

Certain federal, state, and local regulations apply to the actions that occur on the Riparian Reserve Units. Any restoration or conservation action that could adversely affect the flood capacity of the 100-year floodplain is subject to review and approval by the Clark County Department of Public Works to meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Fill material (e.g., soil, rip-rap) placed below the ordinary high water mark of the rivers or in adjacent wetlands is subject to review and permit by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) under Sections 404 and 401, respectively, of the Clean Water Act. If construction equipment or any discharge must enter the waterway to implement a restoration or conservation action, review and approval of working in the waterway is required by NDEP under Section 402 of the Clean Water Act. Any restoration project that disturbs more than one acre is subject to the provisions of stormwater discharge controls under Section 402 of the Clean Water Act and requires compliance with the Construction Stormwater General Permit issued by NDEP. Land clearing using machinery is subject to dust control permitting under Section 94 of the Clark County air quality regulations. Restoration that could involve actions on Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land would be subject to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act for applicable right-of-way authorization. Involvement of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or BLM triggers environmental and cultural assessments under the National Environmental Policy Act and National Historic Preservation Act. Water rights conveyed with the properties are managed in accordance with chapters 533 and 534 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS).

## **1.3 MANAGEMENT ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

Clark County serves as the implementing agent on behalf of the Permittees and the DCP is the Plan Administrator for the MSHCP. The MSHCP and Implementing Agreement set forth the responsible parties for required management activities for the conservation of covered species. The DCP, as administrator of the MSHCP, has the primary management role for the Clark County Riparian Reserve Units.

The Clark County Board of County Commissioners (BCC) review and approve the budget and expenditure of funds by DCP to manage the reserve units, as well as review the DCP's selection of contractors, approve contract awards, and obligate funds for conservation projects.

The DCP acts on behalf of the BCC as the landowner and serves in the primary role of implementing the day-to-day activities to manage the reserve units in accordance with Clark County codes and ordinances and the guiding documents of the MSHCP. The DCP is responsible for planning and implementing discretionary management actions for the long-term maintenance of the reserve units for the benefit of species covered by the MSHCP. The DCP is responsible for reviewing this management plan for any changes or additions to management goals, objectives, and actions for the reserve units, and to update the priority and implementation status of management actions.



## **1.4 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND BUDGET PROCESS**

The MSHCP provides guidance on developing biennial budgets for implementation. The DCP, as the MSHCP Administrator, is responsible for developing a biennial Implementation Plan and Budget that is responsive to key provisions outlined in the MSHCP. Although the process of developing the Implementation Plan and Budget has varied over the past biennia, the general steps of the budget development process are to determine available funding and to identify and recommend actions that further the purpose of the MSHCP. Certain actions that are stipulated by the Section 10 incidental take permit are considered required expenditures to maintain compliance, and therefore are nondiscretionary. These nondiscretionary actions include administering and managing the MSHCP implementation, supporting the Adaptive Management Program, managing the Boulder City Conservation Easement, managing acquired properties and water rights, maintaining the tortoise fencing program along major roads, wild tortoise pick-up services, and the public information and education program. Other actions that further the goals and objectives of the MSHCP but are not directly specified in the incidental take permit are considered discretionary, such as scientific research projects and desert tortoise augmentation projects. The administration and management of the Riparian Reserve Units necessary to protect and maintain the existing resource values are nondiscretionary actions. This Riparian Reserve Units Management Plan serves as the guiding document for planning and implementation of nondiscretionary activities for managing acquired properties and water rights, as well as discretionary actions that further the goals and objectives of the MSHCP but are not directly stated in the incidental take permit. Both nondiscretionary and discretionary actions are funded through the biennial Implementation Plan and Budget process and are approved by the BCC.

Management actions on the Clark County Riparian Reserve Units are primarily funded through Section 10 mitigation fees and from the proceeds from federal land sales under SNPLMA. Other outside sources of funding for conservation actions could include private grants, donations of in-kind services and labor, and mitigation fees paid by third parties as part of their compliance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, or Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. These third-party Section 7 mitigation fees are typically restricted to enhancement or restoration of habitat for listed or sensitive species, and Section 404 mitigation fees are restricted to established in-lieu fee agreements or mitigation banks.



## 2.0 RESERVE UNIT DESCRIPTION

The Riparian Reserve Units consist of the Muddy River Reserve Unit and the Virgin River Reserve Unit. These reserve units are further described below. As previously noted, no properties along the Meadow Valley Wash have been acquired by Clark County, and therefore, this watershed is not described in this section.

### 2.1 MUDDY RIVER RESERVE UNIT

The Muddy River Reserve Unit is located in the northeastern Mojave Desert ecosystem within Moapa Valley and consists of 116.48 acres in nine parcels. For the sake of convenience, the parcels have been labeled sequentially from A to I (Figure 1).

The primary access to the reserve unit is via Interstate 15 (I-15) north to State Route (SR) 168 at Glendale, north to Warm Springs Road, and then west to a gravel drive on the right bank of the Muddy River for parcels A through C, or a bit further west to Hillside Drive for parcels D through F (Figure 1). The route to parcels G through I is south from Warm Springs Road on Sim Street, east on Marley Way, and then south on Saltbrush Lane. The preferred route is east on Learned Cactus Way from Saltbrush Lane to a gated unimproved trail that heads south across private property to Parcel G (Figure 1).


The locations of the properties shown in Figure 1 are listed by Clark County Assessor's parcel number and legal description (section-township-range) in Table 2. Metes and bounds survey descriptions from the recorded deeds are included in Appendix A.

**Table 2.**  
**Assessor's Parcel Numbers, Muddy River Reserve Unit**

Parcel	Assessor's Parcel Number	Township	Range	Section	Acres
A	030-22-501-004	14 S	65 E	22	6.37
B	030-22-501-022				6.3
C	030-22-501-021				2.07
D	030-22-501-010				1.14
E	030-23-201-003			23	25.06
F	030-26-301-001			26	40
G	030-26-601-001				18.65
H	030-26-601-002				16.52
I	030-26-601-003				0.37



Figure 1. Muddy River Reserve Unit





## 2.2 VIRGIN RIVER RESERVE UNIT

As of December 2014, Clark County has acquired title to 168.7 acres distributed across six parcels in two locations in the lower Virgin River watershed. The locations are labeled Subunit 1 and Subunit 2 based on order of acquisition (Figure 2 and Figure 3).

Subunit 1, consisting of a single 80.22-acre parcel, is located in the Mormon Mesa reach of the Lower Virgin River. The subunit is on the east side of the river and includes a scour area along the left bank. Subunit 1 can be accessed from the west via I-15 north to SR 168 at Glendale, south on SR 168 (Moapa Valley Boulevard) through Logandale and Overton, then north on Cooper Street to Mormon Mesa Road and past the duck club on a private dirt trail (Appendix A, Figure 2). Access from the east is via I-15 south to SR 170 (Riverside Road), southwest on Gold Butte Road, and then west on a dirt trail through a wash to the river (Figure 2).

Subunit 2 consists 88.48 acres in five parcels, labeled 2-A through 2-E based on physical location from west to east. Subunit 2 is located in Bunkerville across from the southwest corner of the Casablanca Golf Course in Mesquite. The river cuts through the subunit predominately from east to west. Access to the subunit is via I-15 north to SR 170, northeast on Riverside Road to Second West Street, north to the end of the pavement and continue on the unimproved section line, east on an unimproved road to the flood channel right-of-way, and then north to the river (Figure 3).

Locations of the properties shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 are listed by Clark County Assessor's parcel number and legal description (section-township-range) in Table 3. Metes and bounds survey descriptions are not available at this time.

**Table 3.**  
**Assessor's Parcel Numbers, Virgin River Reserve Unit**

<b>Parcel</b>	<b>Assessor's Parcel Number</b>	<b>Township</b>	<b>Range</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Acres</b>
1	039-19-000-002	15 S	69 E	19	80.22
2-A	002-24-401-004	13 S	70 E	24	17.36
2-B	002-24-401-002	13 S	70 E	24	21.46
2-C	002-24-401-001	13 S	70 E	24	2.62
2-D	002-24-401-005	13 S	70 E	24	37.06
2-E	002-24-801-005	13 S	70 E	24	9.98





Figure 2. Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1







Figure 3. Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2





## 2.3 EXPANSION CRITERIA

The DCP, either directly through Clark County or in cooperation with a third negotiating party, will continue to acquire interests in real property and water rights in the riparian areas on a willing-seller/willing-buyer basis. Interest in real property could include fee title (legal ownership by County) or a conservation easement (legal agreement between landowner and County for permanent restrictions on land use for conservation values).

The DCP developed an acquisition selection tool to rank and prioritize properties based on the environmental and administrative criteria listed in Table 4. A series of questions were generated for each criterion to further define, describe, and explain the criterion. A value of 1 is assigned if the question can be answered affirmatively or the response is desirable and 0 if answered negatively or undesirable. The only exception to these values is for the species evaluation criterion, which is assigned a value of 2 if affirmative or desirable. The total possible value each for environmental criteria and administrative criteria is divided into thirds to set three ranges by where to assign priority. The list of questions and criteria are applied to each prospective property to arrive at a total value and then assigned a priority of 1, 2, or 3 based on what value range the property falls within. The list of criteria questions and example value matrix are included in Appendix B. The DCP will use the selection tool for acquisition of riparian properties from willing sellers along the Muddy River, Virgin River, and Meadow Valley Wash as specified in the incidental take permit, but may consider opportunities to acquire riparian property throughout Clark County.

**Table 4.**  
**Acquisition Selection Criteria**

<b>Administrative Criteria</b>
Ease of management Complexity of land acquisition process Potential for degradation of habitat if not purchased for conservation
<b>Environmental Criteria</b>
Species evaluation Proximity to sensitive lands Restoration potential Level of disturbance

The BLM Cadastral Survey Office was funded to complete a metes and bounds survey under an agreement with the DCP to define the federal property boundaries in the unincorporated towns of Riverside and Bunkerville along the Virgin River, and to create official final survey plats. The Final Survey Plats, once approved will be used to locate and identify encroachments on federal land by adjacent private landowners. Any encroachments will need to be resolved to ensure clear title of private property before DCP can pursue acquisition from willing sellers.



## 2.4 LAND USE

Land use includes land ownership, existing land use, land use plans, and zoning. Existing and planned land uses do not always coincide with current zoning classifications. The riparian properties along the Muddy and Virgin rivers are within the geographic boundaries of the Northeast Clark County Land Use Plan (Clark County 2012). Land use and land management practices can have a significant impact on natural resources, including water, soil, nutrients, plants, and animals.

### 2.4.1 Existing and Adjacent Land Use

#### **Muddy River Reserve Unit**


Parcels within the Muddy River Reserve Unit are zoned as rural open land (1 residential unit per 2 acres) with the planned land use shown in the Northeast Clark County Land Use Plan as public facilities, except for Parcel F, which is designated as open land. The public facilities category allows large areas of permanent open land and easements. One purpose of the open land use category is to prevent irreversible environmental damage to sensitive areas (Clark County 2012). The existing use of the reserve unit is for the conservation of riparian habitat. Allowable uses include scientific surveys and data collection with the permission of the DCP.

Land ownership and use adjacent to the reserve unit in the upper Muddy River floodplain and surrounding upland is a combination of federal multiple use, refuge, and reservation (BLM, USFWS, and tribal lands), conservation use (Southern Nevada Water Authority [SNWA] land), and residential, agricultural, and commercial uses (private land) (Figure 4). The Warm Springs Natural Area, located north and east of the Muddy River Reserve Unit, is managed by SNWA to protect the federally endangered Moapa dace (*Moapa coriacea*), restore natural habitat, protect water resources, and provide recreational and educational opportunities for the public (SNWA 2011). The area consists of 1,220 acres of floodplain and surrounding uplands, with 3.8 miles of Muddy River riverfront. USFWS manages the headwaters of the Muddy River as the Moapa Valley National Wildlife Refuge. The refuge was established in 1979 for the conservation of the Moapa dace and other species that depend on the thermal spring habitat of the headwaters. The refuge covers 116 acres and is managed as part of the Desert National Wildlife Refuge Complex. The BLM manages the floodplain and surrounding uplands along approximately 1.8 river miles between Parcel E and Parcels F and H. Downstream from Parcel H is the Moapa River Indian Reservation that encompasses the floodplain along approximately 2.4 river miles.

To the west and north of Parcels A and C, respectively, is property managed by NV Energy to pump and deliver groundwater for the operation of the Reid Gardner Power Plant located approximately 5.5 river miles downstream. Properties adjacent to Parcels A, B, and E on the east bank of the Muddy River are privately owned or held by SNWA as the Warm Springs Natural Area. The private parcels are used for agriculture (tree farm) and for a rural residence with commercial recreation. Adjacent private property south of Parcels B and C was previously used as a landscape nursery, but is currently unused. The private property between Parcels D and E is used for a rural residence. Adjacent private properties to the north of Parcels G, H, and I are used for rural residences, and adjacent private property south of Parcel F is open land. All other property adjacent to the Muddy River parcels is under the management of the BLM for multiple uses.



Figure 3. Land Ownership, Muddy River Reserve Unit





### **Virgin River Reserve Unit**

Subunit 1 is zoned as rural open land (1 residential unit per 2 acres) with the planned land use designated as open land. One purpose of the open land use category is to prevent irreversible environmental damage to sensitive areas (Clark County 2012). The existing use of the subunit is for riparian habitat conservation. Allowable uses include scientific surveys and data collection with the permission of the DCP.

Subunit 1 is bordered to the east and north by land managed by the Nevada Department of Wildlife (NDOW) as part of the Overton Wildlife Management Area (Figure 5) and is managed for the protection of wildlife habitat. Property to the west and south is managed as multiple use land by the BLM (Figure 5).

Subunit 2 is zoned as rural open land (1 residential unit per 2 acres) with the planned land use designated as rural residential. The rural residential use category allows for a large lot, single family residential (1 unit per 2 acres) (Clark County 2012). The existing use of the subunit is for riparian habitat conservation. Allowable uses include scientific surveys and data collection with the permission of DCP.

Subunit 2 is bordered to the north by land managed by the BLM and a golf course located in a private residential neighborhood (Figure 6). Land bordered to the east is zoned residential agricultural and the current use is agriculture. Private property west and south is used for agriculture and open land (Figure 6).

Much of the river channel and adjacent floodplain along the lower Virgin River corridor south of the City of Mesquite is managed by the BLM, National Park Service, and NDOW, with some smaller parcels under the management of the Bureau of Reclamation. Parcels in private ownership are scattered along the length of the lower Virgin River. Existing uses of these parcels are open lands and agriculture, with zoning designations primarily as rural open land (1 residential unit per 2 acres) further south along the river, and residential agriculture (1 residential unit per 1 acre) in and near the communities of Bunkerville and Mesquite.

#### **2.4.2 Future Adjacent Land Use**

Future uses on lands adjacent to the Riparian Reserve Units are guided by the governing entity's management plans. Future use of parcels held in private, SNWA, or Clark County ownership is governed by the Northeast Clark County Land Use Plan (Clark County 2012), Warm Springs Natural Area Stewardship Plan (SNWA 2011), and flood control master plans for Bunkerville (Clark County Regional Flood Control District 2007), Mesquite (Clark County Regional Flood Control District 2012), and the Muddy River (Clark County Regional Flood Control District 2010). Management and future use of the BLM lands are governed by the Las Vegas Resource Management Plan (BLM 1998), which is currently being revised and updated. Other plans that govern uses on adjacent and nearby federal and state lands include the Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program 2004), Desert National Wildlife Refuge Complex Comprehensive Conservation Plan (USFWS 2009), and Overton Wildlife Management Area



Conceptual Management Plan (NDOW 2014). The Virgin River Habitat Conservation Plan (in preparation), once completed, would also govern uses on federal, state, and private lands.



Figure 4. Land Ownership, Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1









Figure 5. Land Ownership, Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2





### **Muddy River Reserve Unit**

The proposed revisions and updates to the BLM Las Vegas Resource Management Plan could change which nearby parcels would be available for disposal and future private development, and which areas would have further restrictions on use under an Area of Critical Environmental Concern designation. The Resource Management Plan update is expected to be completed in 2015. Future plans for the Warm Springs Natural Area include more opportunities for public use through nature trails and interpretive zones for environmental education to increase awareness about the challenges of water management and the biodiversity of the area. The planned land use designation for the private property on the east bank of the Muddy River is commercial neighborhood. This designation allows low to medium intensity commercial services, including an existing recreational vehicle park.

### **Virgin River Reserve Unit**

The location of Subunit 1 and surrounding land ownership likely limits the future use to habitat management because of the designation of the entire length of the Virgin River in Clark County as critical habitat for the southwestern willow flycatcher (USFWS 2013) and the yellow-billed cuckoo (USFWS 2014). Future uses of private properties adjacent to Subunit 2 are likely limited because of floodplain management restrictions and the designation of critical habitat.

#### **2.4.3 Land Use Permit Requests**

The reserve units are available for use for scientific surveys and data collection. The DCP developed a procedure by which third parties request permission to participate in allowable conservation-based activities on the Riparian Reserve Units. In general, activities that disturb the soil, remove vegetation or seeds, or require handling or removal of animals (including insects or spiders) require written permission from the DCP. Any interested third party will follow the permit request process included in Appendix C and submit a permission form to the DCP before accessing the reserve units to start work. Contractors for DCP projects are not required to complete the permission form.

## **2.5 PHYSICAL SETTING**

### **2.5.1 Climate**

Climate in the Moapa Valley and lower Virgin River watershed is typical of the Mojave Desert with low humidity and high evaporation rates. Summers are hot and dry with temperatures in excess of 100 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) and winters are short and generally mild. Average daily temperatures during the summer are over 90 °F, with average winter daily temperature around 46 °F. Spring and autumn are generally moderate with average daily temperatures of 80 °F (Provencher et al. 2005, Entrix Inc. 2008).

Precipitation is generally from longer-duration, low-intensity winter events associated with frontal systems or from shorter-duration, high-intensity summer storms. In general, the valley floors receive 3 to 5 inches of precipitation per year while the highest mountain elevations receive an average annual precipitation of 12 inches or more. Maximum precipitation normally falls between November and March, while minimum precipitation occurs in May, June, September, and October.

Thunderstorms are common during July and August, contributing between 25 and 30 percent of annual precipitation. Little precipitation infiltrates to groundwater and storm events of intense



precipitation result in sheet flow across the desert floor and flash flooding in washes that are normally dry (Provencher et al. 2005, Entrix Inc. 2008).

## **2.5.2 Geology and Soils**

### **Muddy River Reserve Unit**

The soils are poorly mapped in the upper Muddy River watershed (Natural Resources Conservation Service 2015). The soils along the river are Anthony fine sandy loams, a floodplain soil that is moderately well drained with no or slight salinity. Downcutting by the river has isolated this floodplain soil from the current river flows, especially downstream from the Warm Springs Bridge to I-15 (TNC 2000). Several areas along the river have extensive fill along the river channel. The floodplain further from the river is Gila soil type; loam to sandy loam soils that are moderately well drained with no to slight salinity (Natural Resources Conservation Service 2015). These two soil types make up the 100-year floodplain of the upper Muddy River which as a spatial extent of approximately 7,700 acres (TNC 2000). The uplands are mostly badlands, with exposed rock and colluvium.

### **Virgin River Reserve Unit**

The majority of the soil types on both Virgin River Subunits consists of alluvium soils (Natural Resources Conservation Service 2015). The soils in the river channel (Riverwash – Water Complex) consist of gravelly coarse sand with clay in the lower soil profile. These soils experience frequent flooding and are poorly drained, with water at or within 2 feet of the soil surface. The vegetated floodplain stream terrace soils (predominantly Oxyaquic Torriorthents – Toquop Complex, Toquop Complex, and Toquop Fine Sand) consist of fine sandy loam and silty clay loams, and experience frequent flooding and are poorly drained. These soils can be productive farmland soils, known as loamy bottoms. Soils can be slightly to strongly saline depending on the source of the alluvium (Orr et al. 2013b, Natural Resources Conservation Service 2015). The pH of these soils ranges from 8 to 9 (Orr et al. 2013b).

To the southeast of Subunit 2 on a higher floodplain terrace is the Virgin River silty clay soil series. This soil is calcareous alluvium derived from sedimentary rocks, and are silty clay and clay loams that are somewhat poorly drained and are slightly to strongly saline. These soils are considered farmland of state significance in Nevada.

The upland soils of Subunit 1 are excessively well drained and do not experience flooding. On the eastern uplands the soils are primarily in the Sweetwater-Carrizo Association. These soils are found on the summits and drainage ways of fan remnants, and are extreme gravelly loam, with high calcium and moderate salinity. The western uplands are dominated by three soil types: Arada fine sands along the river (found on fan remnants and eolian in origin), badland soils on the slopes (shallow colluvium and exposed rock), and the Mormon Mesa soil series (lacustrine soils with a petrocalcic layer) on the upper flats.

The soils of the Virgin River Reserve Unit reflect the geology of the surrounding mountains. To the south are the Virgin Mountains, with the highest elevations reaching nearly 6,500 feet. The rocks range in age from Precambrian to Tertiary. The Precambrian rocks consist of a metamorphic and igneous complex, marine carbonate rocks with subordinate, fine-grained clastic material represent



the Paleozoic Era, and the Mesozoic and Cenozoic rocks consists of continental and marine deposits of siltstone, sandstone, limestone, gypsum, conglomerate, and shale. To the north is Mormon Mesa, a flat-topped unit that rises over 650 feet above the Virgin River. The cap of the mesa is part of the Muddy Creek Formation, deposited between 12 and 4 million years before present, with the petrocalcic layers of the formation originating toward the end of that period (Brock and Buck 2009).

### **2.5.3 Topography**

#### **Muddy River Reserve Unit**

The topography of the area around the Muddy River Reserve Unit consists of an entrenched river, a narrow to moderately wide floodplain along the river, and steeper slopes up to the surrounding flat mesas. The upper Muddy River cuts through old (dating back to 5.3 million years ago) alluvial fans and lacustrine sediments that form the surrounding uplands (TNC 2000). The Arrow Canyon Range and a series of small ridges of Quaternary alluvium are along the upper reaches of the river. Elevation ranges from 1,520 to 1,800 feet.

#### **Virgin River Reserve Unit**

The topography of the Virgin River Reserve Unit is relatively flat, with approximately 15 feet of elevation change within the floodplain (river channel, active alluvium, and vegetated alluvium) to over 30 feet of elevation change between the floodplain and the surrounding uplands (Orr et al. 2013b). The boundary between the floodplain and the upland is steep, with elevations ranging from 1,260 to 1,600 feet. Stillwater Sciences developed a geographic information system (GIS) layer representing ground surface height above the low flow water surface of the river generated from existing high-resolution, light detection and ranging (LiDAR) imagery (Orr et al. 2013b).

### **2.5.4 Water Resources**


#### **Surface Water and Groundwater**

##### ***Muddy River Reserve Unit***

The Muddy River is a perennial, spring-fed river that originates from a series of thermal springs approximately 60 miles northeast of Las Vegas in the unincorporated towns of Moapa and Glendale (Wainscott 2004) (Figure 7). The discharge from the thermal springs ranges from 86 to 89 °F and contributes 30 to 40 percent of the total river flow. Groundwater discharge contributes 60 to 70 percent of the total river flow. The groundwater flows come from the channel of the historical White River, which flowed from central Nevada to the Colorado River. The White River is now discontinuous along its length and is below ground from Pahranaagat to the source of groundwater discharge into the Muddy River (TNC 2000).



Figure 6. Water Resources of the Muddy River Reserve Unit





The Muddy River flows some 26 miles from its source into the impounded Colorado River. The Lake Mead impoundment submerges the last 7 river miles of the Muddy River. Prior to the impoundment of the Colorado River, the Muddy River joined with the Virgin River for a short distance before emptying into the Colorado River. The river has a relatively constant flow with an average annual discharge of 25,000 acre-feet per year (AFY) (TNC 2000). This has been reduced from 36,000 AFY over the past 2 to 3 decades, primarily due to groundwater removal for power generation but also for municipal water, agricultural irrigation, and domestic water supplies (TNC 2000). The perennial nature of the river with a relatively constant flow of water throughout the year is unique within the Mojave Desert ecoregion.

All or parts of Parcels A, B, C, D, E, G, and H are within the 100-year floodplain of the Muddy River (Figure 7). Parcels F and I are outside the floodplain.

### ***Virgin River Reserve Unit***

The Virgin River is a perennial tributary of the Colorado River, arising in southwestern Utah, flowing through northwest Arizona and southern Nevada, and emptying into Lake Mead. The river is approximately 162 miles long, with the last 30 miles forming the north arm of Lake Mead.

The river has a relatively natural hydrologic regime, with only a few low-water dams in the upper reaches in Utah and local water withdrawal for agriculture in Nevada (Dixon and Katzer 2002). The annual river flow averages 140,000 AFY, which is a combination of river and spring flow and groundwater loss. The annual flow has ranged from 78,000 to 500,000 AFY between 1930 and 1998. Monthly flows are between 100 and 150 cubic feet per second (cfs) from June to October, increasing in volume from winter through spring with highs over 400 cfs in April and May. Shallow sub-surface water flow is generally parallel with the floodplain (Orr et al. 2013b).

Most of Subunit 1 is within the 100-year floodplain (Figure 8). Although the meandering river channel cuts through Subunit 2, the mapped 100-year floodplain covers only the southeast corner of the subunit (Figure 9).

### **Water Rights**

All surface water and groundwater within the boundaries of the state belong to the public and are subject to appropriation for beneficial uses such as irrigation, recreation, wildlife, and stock watering, and for domestic, municipal, and industrial uses. The use of a water right is considered a property right and can be bought and sold like any other property. Water rights are purchased or sold as personal property or treated as an appurtenance (attachment) in a real estate transaction. Holders of water rights must demonstrate an actual beneficial use of water in a timely manner. If holders stop using the water, the water right is lost.

Water rights were conveyed to Clark County with the purchase of Muddy River parcels C through F. There is also a municipal water connection on property C with the Moapa Valley Water District. The acquired rights were for groundwater for irrigation and domestic uses. The DCP filed a Report of Conveyance with the State Engineer to establish Clark County as the owner of record of the water permits. A certificate of appropriation was also filed in accordance with the NRS. The DCP also filed an Application of Change with the State Engineer to modify the place of use and the manner of use (beneficial use) of the groundwater. The beneficial use will be changed to wildlife purposes, which



**Figure 7. Water Resources of the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1**







Figure 8. Water Resources of the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2







includes establishment and maintenance of wildlife habitat, and the water right will then be perfected (certificated) for this use.

No water rights were attached to or conveyed with the Virgin River Reserve Unit but there may be opportunities to lease water from the Mesquite or Bunkerville Irrigation Districts if needed to support restoration activities.

### **2.5.5 Cultural Resources**

There are no known records of any surveys of the Riparian Reserve Units for cultural resources; however, the history of the area has been extensively documented. In 2014, a Cultural Resources Class I Review was conducted for the Muddy River Reserve Unit.

The archaeological sequence and record of the Moapa Valley and Virgin River Valley indicate a continuous occupation of the areas from 300 B.C. to historic times. The earliest recorded occupation of the southern Nevada region was by the Virgin Anasazi peoples. The Virgin Anasazi culture is characterized by a horticultural subsistence base, permanent architectural features, ceramic production, and tools such as hoes, digging sticks, and grinding implements suitable for processing large quantities of grain. Remains of this culture have been recorded on the high bluffs overlooking the confluence of the Muddy and Virgin rivers. Early settlers of the valley recorded the presence of numerous irrigation ditches leading from the Muddy River to fields in the floodplain, indicating that the Pueblo had an extensive irrigation system. With the decline of the Virgin Anasazi came Numic-speaking peoples, especially the Southern Paiute and Patayan (Ezzo 1996).

The earliest Europeans were Spanish explorers who traveled through the area in the 1770s. The first travelers over what is now known as the Old Spanish Trail were probably fur trappers. The Mormon Wagon Road, originating in Salt Lake City and traversing southern Nevada along the Muddy and Virgin rivers helped to establish the region as a Mormon stronghold (Ezzo 1996).

European settlement began in the mid-1860s and the Moapa Indian Reservation was created in 1873. The town of Bunkerville was founded on religious (Mormon) principles in 1877. After several years, this area was initially abandoned due to challenges associated with trying to “tame” the Virgin River and quicksand, but around 1895, Mormons returned to the area and began to farm. West Point was the first recorded Mormon settlement in the upper Muddy River valley in 1968. The settlement began with the construction of a fort, irrigation ditch, and a willow corral. The present day remains of the West Point settlement include several mounds of adobe melt, partially intact cobble foundations, tent dugouts, and a portion of an irrigation ditch (Ezzo 1996).

## **2.6 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

### **2.6.1 Vegetation**

#### **Ecosystems**

Desert riparian habitat once occurred along all the major rivers and streams in Clark County. The primary native species in this ecosystem are Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*), velvet ash (*Fraxinus velutina*), and Goodding's willow (*Salix gooddingii*). These deciduous tree species are



important as nesting habitat for bird species and provide shade and mitigate water temperatures for native stream and river species. This habitat has been significantly reduced in extent (by development, agriculture, fire, and the lowering of the local and regional aquifers) and quality (non-native invasive plant species, including tamarisk [*Tamarisk* spp.]). The loss of the desert riparian habitat has impacted the status of many bird and fish species.

## MSHCP Covered Plant Species

### *Muddy River Reserve Unit*

Surveys to inventory plants within the Muddy River Reserve Unit were conducted by the National Park Service Exotic Plant Management Team in winter of 2012. No covered or evaluation MSHCP species were observed during the survey. One watch list species, barrel cactus (*Ferocactus cylindraceus*) was observed in the reserve unit.

### *Virgin River Reserve Unit*

A limited inventory of plants within Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 was conducted by Stillwater Sciences and the University of California, Santa Barbara in fall of 2013 to document native and nonnative plants. Surveys to inventory plant species within the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2, have not been conducted. No covered, evaluation, or watch list plant species are known to occur within the Virgin River Reserve Unit.

## Other Plant Species

Native plants observed during surveys conducted in 2012 in the Muddy River Reserve Unit and 2013 surveys in the Virgin River Reserve Unit are listed in Table 5 below.

**Table 5.**  
**Native Plants in the Riparian Reserve Units**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Muddy River Reserve Unit	Virgin River Reserve Unit
Catclaw	<i>Acacia greggii</i>	X	
Iodinebush	<i>Allenrolfea occidentalis</i>	X	
White bursage	<i>Ambrosia dumosa</i>	X	
Fiddleneck	<i>Amsinckia</i> sp.	X	
Yerba mansa	<i>Anemopsis californica</i>	X	X
Four-wing saltbush	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	X	
Wheelscale saltbush	<i>Atriplex elegans</i>	X	
Desert holly	<i>Atriplex hymenelytra</i>	X	
Quailbush	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i>	X	X
Cattle saltbush	<i>Atriplex polycarpa</i>	X	
Mulefat	<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i>		X
Desert brickellbush	<i>Brickellia desertorum</i>	X	
Sedge	<i>Carex</i> sp.		X
Spiny herb	<i>Chorizanthe rigida</i>	X	
Rabbitbrush	<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>	X	
Horseweed	<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	X	
Saltgrass	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	X	
Hedgehog cactus	<i>Echinocereus</i> sp.	X	
Mormon tea	<i>Ephedra californica</i>	X	
Skeleton weed	<i>Eriogonum deflexum</i>	X	



Common Name	Scientific Name	Muddy River Reserve Unit	Virgin River Reserve Unit
Desert trumpet	<i>Eriogonum inflatum</i>	X	
Barrel cactus	<i>Ferocactus cylindraceus</i>	X	
Singleleaf ash	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	X	
Snakeweed	<i>Gutierrezia</i> sp.	X	
Sunflower	<i>Helianthus</i> sp.	X	
Salt heliotrope	<i>Heliotropium curassavicum</i>		X
White ratany	<i>Krameria grayi</i>	X	
Rush	<i>Juncus</i> sp.		X
Prickly lettuce	<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	X	
Creosote bush	<i>Larrea tridentata</i>	X	
Wolfberry	<i>Lycium andersonii</i>	X	X
Pale desert thorn	<i>Lycium pallidum</i>	X	
Mojave aster	<i>Machaeranthera tortifolia</i>	X	
Common mallow	<i>Malva neglecta</i>	X	
Four o'clock	<i>Mirabilis</i> sp.	X	
Beavertail cactus	<i>Opuntia basilaris</i>	X	
Common reed	<i>Phragmites australis</i>	X	
Desert plantain	<i>Plantago ovate</i>	X	
James' galleta	<i>Pleuraphis jamesii</i>	X	
Saltmarsh fleabane	<i>Pluchea odorata</i>		X
Arrowweed	<i>Pluchea sericea</i>		X
Honey mesquite	<i>Prosopis glandulosa</i>	X	X
Screwbean mesquite	<i>Prosopis pubescens</i>	X	X
Indigo bush	<i>Psoralea fremontii</i>	X	
Willow	<i>Salix</i> sp.	X	
Coyote willow	<i>Salix exigua</i>		X
Goodding's willow	<i>Salix gooddingii</i>		X
Desert globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea ambigua</i>	X	
Copper globemallow	<i>Sphaeralcea angustifolia</i>	X	
Alkali sacatone grass	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	X	
Iodine weed	<i>Suaeda torreyana</i>	X	
Arrowweed	<i>Tessaria sericea</i>	X	
Fiveneedle pricklyleaf	<i>Thymophylla pentachaeta</i>	X	
Cattail	<i>Typha</i> sp.	X	

### Noxious and Invasive Weeds

Noxious weeds are those weeds designated as a pest by state or federal law or regulation. The state of Nevada designates plants as noxious if the plant is found to be "detrimental or destructive and difficult to control or eradicate" (NRS 555.005). Invasive weeds are non-native species whose introduction does or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm (The National Invasive Species Council 2006).

Semi-annual surveys for noxious and invasive weeds are conducted during the winter and spring on the Muddy River Reserve Unit. Limited noxious and invasive weed surveys were conducted on the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 in fall of 2013. A full survey and treatment will be conducted on all subunits within the Virgin River Reserve Unit during the 2015-2017 Implementation Plan and Budget funding cycle. Noxious and invasive species are treated using an integrated weed management approach, if determined appropriate. Treatment methods may include, but not limited



to, herbicide application, cut-stump with herbicide application, or hand-pulling, with the particular treatment method depending on the species being treated and the time of year that the treatment is applied. A list of noxious and invasive species that have been documented during surveys is provided in Table 6 below.

**Table 6.  
Noxious and Invasive Weeds**

Common Name	Scientific Name	State Listed Noxious <sup>1</sup>
Muddy River Reserve Unit		
Russian knapweed	<i>Acroptilon repens</i>	B
Australian saltbush	<i>Atriplex semibaccata</i>	No
Fivehook bassia	<i>Bassia hyssopifolia</i>	No
Red brome	<i>Bromus rubens</i>	No
Malta starthistle	<i>Centaurea melitensis</i>	A
Field bindweed	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	No
Horseweed, marestail	<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	No
Bermuda grass	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	No
Redstem stork's bill	<i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	No
Prickly lettuce	<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	No
African mustard	<i>Malcolmia Africana</i>	No
Russian thistle	<i>Salsola kali</i>	No
London rocket	<i>Sisymbrium irio</i>	No
Saltcedar	<i>Tamarix ramosissima</i>	C
Puncturevine	<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	C
Virgin River Reserve Unit		
Camelthorn	<i>Alhagi maurorum</i>	A
Bermudagrass	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	No
Tall whitetop	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i>	C
Saltcedar	<i>Tamarix ramosissima</i>	C
<sup>1</sup> Nevada Department of Agriculture noxious weed categories: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Category A weeds are generally not found or are limited in distribution throughout the state. These species are subject to active exclusion from the state, eradication where found, and eradication from nursery stock.</li> <li>• Category B weeds are generally established in scattered populations in some counties of the state. These species are subject to active exclusion where possible and active eradication from nursery stock.</li> <li>• Category C weeds are generally established and widespread in many counties of the state. These species are subject to active eradication from nursery stock.</li> </ul>		
Source: Nevada Department of Agriculture (2014)		

## 2.6.2 Wildlife

### MSHCP Covered Wildlife Species

#### ***Muddy River Reserve Unit***

Breeding bird surveys were conducted within the reserve unit in 2008 and 2009. The surveys documented two MSHCP covered species within the Muddy River Reserve Unit: blue grosbeak (*Passerina caerulea*) and, phainopepla (*Phainopepla nitens*), as well as the Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii*). Additionally, one evaluation species, the crissal thrasher (*Toxostoma crissale*) was also documented within the reserve unit. No evaluation species were observed. Additional bird surveys are anticipated



to occur during the 2015-2017 Implementation Plan and Budget funding cycle. Surveys for other taxa have not been conducted as of this writing.

### **Virgin River Reserve Unit**

Wildlife surveys have not yet been conducted within this reserve unit. Southwestern willow flycatchers have been observed within the Virgin River Reserve Unit during range-wide breeding surveys conducted for this species. No other covered, evaluation, or watch list wildlife species are known to occur within the reserve unit. Bird surveys are anticipated to occur during the 2015-2017 biennium funding cycle.

### **Other Wildlife Species**

Bird species observed in the Muddy River Reserve Unit during surveys conducted in 2008 and 2009 are listed in Table 7 below. Fish surveys were conducted in 2008 and 2009, but are not covered species under the MSHCP and have not reported in this document. Surveys for other taxa of wildlife have not been conducted at this time. No wildlife surveys have been conducted within the Virgin River Reserve Unit.

**Table 7.  
Birds Observed in the Muddy River Reserve Unit**

Common Name	Scientific Name
Spotted sandpiper	<i>Actitis macularia</i>
Red-winged blackbird	<i>Agelaius phoeniceus</i>
Wood duck	<i>Aix sponsa</i>
Black-throated sparrow	<i>Amphispiza bilineata</i>
Blue-winged teal	<i>Anas discors</i>
Mallard	<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>
Western scrub-jay	<i>Aphelocoma californica</i>
Black-chinned hummingbird	<i>Archilochus alexandri</i>
Great blue heron	<i>Ardea herodias</i>
Verdin	<i>Auriparus flaviceps</i>
Cedar waxwing	<i>Bombycilla cedrorum</i>
Gambel's quail	<i>Callipepla gambelii</i>
Lesser goldfinch	<i>Carduelis psaltria</i>
House finch	<i>Carpodacus mexicanus</i>
Turkey vulture	<i>Cathartes aura</i>
Lesser nighthawk	<i>Chordeiles acutipennis</i>
Marsh wren	<i>Cistothorus palustris</i>
Common raven	<i>Corvus corax</i>
Yellow-rumped warbler	<i>Dendroica coronata</i>
Yellow warbler	<i>Dendroica petechia</i>
American kestrel	<i>Falco sparverius</i>
American coot	<i>Fulica americana</i>
Great roadrunner	<i>Geococcyx californianus</i>
Common yellowthroat	<i>Geothlypis trichas</i>
Barn swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>
Yellow-breasted chat	<i>Icteria virens</i>
Bullock's oriole	<i>Icterus bullocki</i>
Song sparrow	<i>Melospiza melodia</i>
Mockingbird	<i>Mimus polyglottos</i>
Northern mockingbird	<i>Mimus polyglottos</i>



Common Name	Scientific Name
Brownheaded cowbird	<i>Molothrus ater</i>
Ash-throated flycatcher	<i>Myiarchus cinerascens</i>
House sparrow	<i>Passer domesticus</i>
Lazuli bunting	<i>Passerina amoena</i>
Blue grosbeak	<i>Passerina caerulea</i>
Indigo bunting	<i>Passerina cyanea</i>
Cliff swallow	<i>Petrochelidon pyrrhonota</i>
Phainopepla	<i>Phainopepla nitens</i>
Ladder-backed woodpecker	<i>Picoides scalaris</i>
Abert's towhee	<i>Pipilo aberti</i>
Western tanager	<i>Piranga ludoviciana</i>
Black-tailed gnatcatcher	<i>Polioptila melanura</i>
Great-tailed grackle	<i>Quiscalus mexicanus</i>
Ruby-crowned kinglet	<i>Regulus calendula</i>
Black phoebe	<i>Sayornis nigricans</i>
Say's phoebe	<i>Sayornis saya</i>
Brewer's sparrow	<i>Spizella breweri</i>
Northern roughwinged swallow	<i>Stelgidopteryx serripennis</i>
Eurasian collared dove	<i>Streptopelia decaocto</i>
Western meadowlark	<i>Sturnella neglecta</i>
Violet-green swallow	<i>Tachycineta thalassina</i>
Bewick's wren	<i>Thyromanes bewikii</i>
Crissal thrasher	<i>Toxostoma crissale</i>
American robin	<i>Turdus migratorius</i>
Western kingbird	<i>Tyrannus verticalis</i>
Orange-crowned warbler	<i>Vermivora celata</i>
Lucy's warbler	<i>Vermivora luciae</i>
Bell's vireo	<i>Vireo bellii</i>
Cassin's vireo	<i>Vireo cassinii</i>
Warbling vireo	<i>Vireo gilvus</i>
Plumbeous vireo	<i>Vireo plumbeus</i>
Wilson's warbler	<i>Wilsonia pusilla</i>
Yellow-headed blackbird	<i>Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus</i>
White-winged dove	<i>Zenaida asiatica</i>
Mourning dove	<i>Zenaida macroura</i>
White-crowned sparrow	<i>Zonotrichia leucophrys</i>

### 2.6.3 Threats

The primary threats affecting desert riparian habitats in southern Nevada are described in this section. These threats are summarized from several documents, including the MSHCP (Clark County 2000a), site conservation plans developed by TNC (TNC 1999, TNC 2000), and the Virgin River Conservation Management Assessment (Entrix Inc. 2008). The first two threats described are considered the priority threats on which to focus management actions.

#### Non-native and Invasive Species

Non-native and invasive plant species can alter the structure and composition of desert riparian habitat, alter the stream hydrology and soil moisture, and alter the fire regime. Non-native plant



species can severely affect riparian habitat over a wide range in both rivers, increasing the challenges of restoring these habitats.

Tamarisk (or saltcedar) is the primary invasive plant species in riparian habitat in the Mojave Desert, and is designated as a Category C noxious weed by the Nevada Department of Agriculture. The species has spread rapidly along riparian corridors, displacing native species and forming dense monocultures. Tamarisk uses excessive water, adds salinity to the soil, and provides limited habitat for native species, although several riparian birds can and do use it for nesting. Another non-native species that ecologically impacts riparian habitat is fan palm (*Washingtonia californica*). Fan palm displaces native species, uses excessive water, and establishes a new fire regime, one that is more frequent and intense.

Many noxious weeds have been identified on both the Virgin and Muddy River reserve units as well as documented in the watersheds. The DCP currently conducts semi-annual weed surveys and treatments on the Muddy River Reserve Unit and will initiate a similar program on the Virgin River Reserve Unit in 2015.

The non-native tamarisk leaf beetle (*Diorhabda elongata*) was introduced in the southwest U.S. as a biological control agent for tamarisk. The beetles feed on the leaves of tamarisk and defoliate the plant, which compromises the plant's ability to photosynthesize and store food resources in its roots. Prior to the arrival of the beetles, southwestern willow flycatchers had successfully nested in tamarisk-dominated habitats. Flycatchers rely on dense riparian tree and shrub communities for breeding. However, the rapid defoliation of tamarisk caused by the tamarisk leaf beetle has resulted in reproductive failure of southwestern willow flycatchers in areas that are recently defoliated. This rapid defoliation of tamarisk has affected numerous other species that also rely on the dense vegetation of desert riparian ecosystems. Widespread defoliation due to tamarisk leaf beetles was documented on the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 2 in 2010 and on the Muddy River Reserve Unit and the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 in 2012 (Tom Dudley, personal communication).

### **Altered Local and Regional Aquifers and Altered Surface Flow**

The flow of the Muddy River has been reduced by almost one-third over the last 2 to 3 decades, primarily due to groundwater removal for power generation but also for municipal water, agricultural irrigation, and domestic water supplies (TNC 2000). It is the perennial nature of the river with a relatively constant flow of water throughout the year that makes the Muddy River one of the most unique riparian systems in the Mojave Desert ecoregion. Residential growth in the Moapa Valley has historically been 2 to 3 percent annually (TNC 2000), but there have been proposals for larger-scale developments, not only in the valley but also in areas that would affect the groundwater flow to the Muddy River, such as the Coyote Springs development. The BLM Rapid Ecological Assessment for the Mojave Desert Ecoregion projects that surface water and groundwater use will increase by over 25 percent in the Moapa Valley in the next 50 years (Comer et al. 2013).

The Lower Virgin River has a relatively natural flow regime as it is not dammed, but some water is diverted for municipal and industrial use, stockwater and recreation use, and irrigation purposes (Winters and Johnson 2004).



Altered local and regional aquifers reduce the amount of water available for riparian and aquatic species in both rivers and result in changes to the flood regime, further altering native vegetation structure. Both of these threats are widespread in scope and could be severe in impact. Reversing these threats is rarely possible.

### **Fire**

Wildfires are becoming more common in the Mojave Desert ecosystem (Van Linn et al. 2013). Mojave Desert species did not evolve with fire, thus fire has a detrimental ecological effect in the ecosystem. Fire is fueled primarily by invasive annual grasses and introduced plants in the uplands that provide fine and large fuels. Fires from the uplands can spread into riparian habitat. Fire scars within the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1 suggest that fire has played a role in the dominance of tamarisk. Fire alters the composition and structure of the riparian habitat, reducing structure for woody species that survive fire and eliminating some woody species. The increase of non-native grasses establishes a reoccurring cycle of fire that further degrades habitat. Fire threat can be very severe and restoration after a fire is difficult. Fire is a threat in both river systems.

### **Livestock Trespass**

Trespass by livestock occurs on the Virgin River Reserve Unit. Livestock can modify habitat (e.g., soil surface topography, permeability of soil) and trample and graze on native plants. Livestock trampling and grazing is a high risk for revegetation efforts. Reducing or eliminating this threat can occur by installing barriers to prevent entry by trespass livestock, but may be costly to install and maintain.

### **Development**

Natural conditions, including water supplies within the northeast planning area of Clark County, present constraints to new development. Large portions of the area are mountainous, have steep slopes, or are in regulated floodplains. The requirements of the MSHCP and proposed Virgin River Habitat Conservation Plan to protect listed species may also further restrict development. Large contiguous areas for residential developments are limited because of the extent of federal land ownership in Clark County. Development would likely occur on in-fill parcels based on planned land uses and existing zoning. Areas designated for disposal by the BLM for development are not in riparian habitats. The threats to riparian habitats from development would be low.

### **Infrastructure**

Transportation and transmission corridors are low threats to the riparian systems on both rivers. Siting of transmission lines and utility corridors are predominately governed by the BLM through the RMP process because of the extent of federal land ownership within Clark County. Transportation and transmission corridors cause habitat alteration and fragmentation. Existing infrastructure is low in severity and low in areal extent near the Riparian Reserve Units.

### **Agriculture**

Agriculture (row crops, fruit and landscape trees, and pasture) is not extensive near the Muddy River Reserve Unit but does cover large areas along the lower Muddy River and south of the Virgin River Reserve Unit around the community of Bunkerville. The threats from agriculture include livestock trespass, altered hydrologic regime from water withdrawal, habitat loss and fragmentation,





and toxins and organic enrichment to the river system from runoff. Restoration from past agricultural clearing is an option in some reaches of both rivers.

### **Climate Change**

Projections of climate change for the northeast Mojave Desert suggest that the changes will be profound by 2060 (Comer et al. 2013), including substantial changes in most monthly maximum temperatures, July maximum temperature, and August minimum temperature. Some of the potential effects of climate change include decrease in plant growth, expansion of invasive species distribution and density, increase in fire frequency, increase in wind erosion, higher evapotranspiration rates, earlier drawdown of stream levels and ground water, shrinkage of areas of perennial flow, reduction of riparian vegetation, reduction of groundwater recharge, and increase in flood events from higher precipitation levels at high elevations (Comer et al. 2013). Climate change can be a severe threat to these ecological systems and species over the next 50 years.

## **2.5 PUBLIC SERVICES AND SAFETY**

Public services and safety address the agencies responsible for utilities and emergency response to the areas that encompass the Riparian Reserve Units, and the safety procedures followed by the DCP and contractors that access the reserve units. Since the Riparian Reserve Units are located in the unincorporated areas of Clark County, public safety services are provided by County agencies. Agency contacts and telephone numbers are listed in Appendix D.

### **2.6.1 Fire and Medical**

The Clark County Fire Department provides fire protection and emergency medical response for the northeast area of the County. The Riparian Reserve Units are served by volunteer firefighters from Station 71 in Bunkerville and Station 72 in Moapa. The BLM rangers are responsible for fire and medical emergency response on BLM land.

### **2.6.2 Law Enforcement**

The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department is the agency providing police protection in the northeast area of the County. The Department has a resident officer program serving the communities of Bunkerville, Moapa, Glendale, Overton, and Logandale. The Nevada Highway Patrol enforces traffic regulations on the highways and state routes. The BLM rangers patrol federal lands and are responsible for protecting the resources, illegal dumping, and traffic code enforcement on BLM land.

### **2.6.3 Utilities**

Muddy River Reserve Unit, Parcel B is serviced by municipal water provided by the Moapa Valley Water District and by electrical service provided by Overton Power District. Sewer service for the house that was removed from Parcel B was a septic system. There are no utilities that service Virgin River Reserve Unit.



#### **2.6.4 Safety**

The DCP follows standard health and safety procedures for working in desert environments, including guidelines for weather related risks and biological hazards (snakes, insects).

In the past, illegal marijuana grow operations have been documented in the Muddy River area. Caution should always be taken when visiting the reserve units while being cognizant of unknown irrigation lines or dumping areas. The BLM Office of Law Enforcement and Security should be notified of any suspect sign of illegal behavior in the area by reporting it to 702-515-5178.

Emergency contact is provided through the 911 service; non-emergency support is requested by dialing 311. Cell phone service is available on and near the reserve units through most telecommunication carriers.



### 3.0 MANAGEMENT GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTIONS

The management goals for the Riparian Reserve Units are based on the Section 10 incidental take permit and the guiding documents for the reserve units.

#### 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Goals are broad, general statements to establish the direction for the management of the easement. Objectives provide further explanation regarding the intent of the goals and are established to measure progress towards achieving management goals for the Riparian Reserve Units. Management goals and objectives are presented in Table 8.

**Table 8.**  
**Riparian Reserve Units Management Goals and Objectives**

<b>Goal 1</b>	Manage reserve units to provide habitat for the six MSHCP covered avian species.
<b>Objectives</b>	Restore, create, and enhance habitat for riparian bird species.
<b>Goal 2</b>	Manage reserve units to support resource values for other MSHCP and sensitive species when practicable.
<b>Objectives</b>	Manage habitat to benefit other MSHCP species.
	Manage habitat to avoid harm to aquatic species.
<b>Goal 3</b>	Manage reserve units to meet conservation and landowner obligations.
<b>Objectives</b>	Manage reserve units to control invasive plant species and noxious weeds.
	Manage reserve units to reduce threat of fire and maintain safe conditions.
	Manage property rights and property infrastructure.
	Build and maintain positive relationships in the community.
<b>Goal 4</b>	Expand property holdings of desert riparian habitats for MSHCP covered species.
<b>Objectives</b>	Acquire title to private lands from willing sellers.
	Acquire conservation easements to public and private lands with willing landowners as appropriate.

#### 3.2 DISCUSSION OF OBJECTIVES AND LIST OF MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

Objectives are what guide identification and development of management actions and day-to-day activities. Management actions are defined as specific actions, methods, or tools by which objectives are met and goals are achieved. Actions make up the “how do we get there” part of the planning process and are linkages between the plan and implementation. This section provides a general discussion of each objective and lists management actions that would meet each objective. Appendix E contains the table of management actions with further details on what, where, when, and who implements each action, and how to measure effectiveness of implementation of the action.

##### **Objective 1.0 - Restore, create, and enhance habitat for riparian bird species**

Desert riparian habitats have been significantly reduced in extent by development, agriculture, fire, and the lowering of the local and regional aquifers, and reduced in quality primarily by the invasion



of tamarisk. The restoration, creation, and enhancement of desert riparian habitats is necessary for survival of MSHCP covered riparian bird species. There is substantial experience among agencies, consultants, and within the DCP on how to restore riparian habitat.

The management actions that will restore, create, and enhance habitat for riparian bird species include:

- 1.1 Identify and prioritize sites for restoration
- 1.2 Develop restoration plans for priority restoration sites
- 1.3 Implement restoration plans
- 1.4 Monitor and adaptively manage restored riparian habitat
- 1.5 Collaborate with others to manage riparian habitat restoration along the Muddy and Virgin rivers
- 1.6 Assess opportunities to obtain water from irrigation districts to support restoration
- 1.7 When feasible, assess opportunities to establish in-lieu fee agreement with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for Clean Water Act permit mitigation options

### **Objective 2.0 - Manage habitat that benefit other MSHCP species**

Restoration and enhancement of desert riparian habitats and the surrounding mesquite acacia woodlands can increase the health and resiliency of the ecosystem. Management actions that improve the local conditions for target MSHCP species may also benefit other MSHCP species as well, such as the phainopepla. When feasible, actions should be undertaken that consider these benefits to the system as a whole in support of other MSHCP species.

The phainopepla is highly associated with mesquite and acacia woodlands that are infested with desert mistletoe. Mesquite habitat has been degraded by development, agriculture, fire, and the lowering of the local and regional aquifers, and by tamarisk. Much information exists on the values and conservation priorities of mesquite habitat along the Muddy River and Meadow Valley Wash for the phainopepla (Crampton et al. 2006).

The management actions that will restore habitat in riparian areas and mesquite acacia woodlands include:

- 2.1 Identify and prioritize sites for restoration
- 2.2 Develop restoration plans for priority restoration sites
- 2.3 Implement restoration plans
- 2.4 Monitor and adaptively manage restored habitat



### **Objective 3.0 - Manage habitat to avoid harm to aquatic species**

The Muddy and Virgin rivers have several aquatic fish and invertebrate species that are of federal or state significance and that are not MSHCP covered species. Among these are the federally listed endangered Moapa dace on the Muddy River and the woundfin on the Virgin River, and the federally listed endangered Virgin River Chub, found in both rivers. Activities related to the restoration, creation, and enhancement of riparian habitat should be conducted in such a way to reduce impacts to the river channel that could affect aquatic species and in accordance with all laws and regulations. Additionally, other management actions such as invasive species control and removing underground utilities should also be conducted so that impact to aquatic species is minimized.

The management actions that will manage habitat to avoid harm to aquatic species include:

- 3.1 Coordinate with the USFWS on management actions to avoid negative effects to aquatic species

### **Objective 4.0 - Manage reserve units to control invasive plant species and noxious weeds**

Saltcedar (*Tamarisk* sp.) is the primary invasive plant species on the Riparian Reserve Units. Some of the other non-native plant species on the Muddy River Reserve Unit identified during a botanical inventory and mapping project (2005-NPS-561N) completed in 2012, included Malta star thistle, Australian saltbush, African mustard, Russian knapweed, red brome, and cheatgrass. Noxious weeds, camelthorn and tall whitetop, are also present on the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1. These species can spread rapidly along riparian corridors and displace native species. The DCP, as a landowner, is required under the NRS to control the spread of noxious weeds. The DCP is assisted by the National Park Service Lake Mead Exotic Plant Management Team (project 2011-NPS-915A) to control and manage non-native plant species on the Muddy River Reserve Unit.

The management actions that will control invasive plant species include:

- 4.1 Conduct control activities for invasive species and noxious weeds
- 4.2 Adaptively manage the control of invasive species and noxious weeds

### **Objective 5.0 - Manage reserve units to reduce threat of fire and maintain safe conditions**

One of the most destructive threats to the ecosystems of the Mojave Desert is the occurrence of fire. Fires reduce or eliminate riparian trees and shrubs and thus reduce the vegetation structure required by all riparian bird species. Frequency of fire in the Mojave Desert is related to the availability of fuels, the source of which is primarily non-native invasive plant species. The control of non-native invasive plant species assists in reducing fire. Firebreaks, strips where all flammable vegetation is cleared, are effective in reducing the spread of fire and protecting the natural and human resources. The DCP has removed and trimmed palm trees (sources of fuel) and has established and maintains fire breaks on several of the Muddy River Reserve Unit parcels.

The management actions that will address reducing the threat of fire include:

- 5.1 Maintain existing fire breaks and establish new firebreaks when necessary

**Objective 6.0 - Manage property rights and property infrastructure**

For purposes of this objective, property rights and infrastructure address those rights, structures, utilities, easements, and roads that were acquired by the DCP along with title to the land. Groundwater rights were attached to Muddy River Reserve Unit Parcels C through F, and a house (since demolished) was included with Parcel B. The house was serviced by a municipal water connection from the Moapa Valley Water District and electrical from Overton Power. Some infrastructure must be maintained to support the conservation obligations of the reserve units. The certificated groundwater rights must be updated in the County's name, along with the beneficial use of the groundwater. This objective also addresses property rights and infrastructure held by others that are used indirectly to manage the Riparian Reserve Units.

The management actions that will address property rights and property infrastructure include:

- 6.1 Update title to and use of groundwater rights
- 6.2 Maintain functional municipal water connection and maintain pump equipment
- 6.3 Identify presence and location of operational and abandoned underground utilities (water, sewer, electric)
- 6.4 Maintain fences, as needed, and add additional fences if needed
- 6.5 Obtain permission to cross private lands to access reserve units
- 6.6 Identify options for road access to the Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1
- 6.7 Maintain road access to the Muddy River Reserve Unit
- 6.8 Maintain relationships and coordinate with adjacent landowners to protect conservation values of the reserve units

**Objective 7.0 - Build and maintain positive relationships in the community**

In achieving conservation obligations it is important to ensure that the DCP and the County maintains a positive relationship with the local neighbors and community as a whole. Currently, the Riparian Reserve Units are not open to public use, but it is important that the public understands the purpose for the Riparian Reserve Units, knows the allowable and prohibited uses, and can locate the physical extent (boundaries) of the reserve units. Information can be provided through personal interactions, signage, interpretive materials, kiosks, and the DCP webpage.

The management actions that can help maintain community relationships for the Riparian Reserve Units include:

- 7.1 Maintain positive interactions with neighbors
- 7.2 Perform general maintenance on reserve units as needed
- 7.3 Be responsive to community concerns related to the reserve units
- 7.4 Prepare public information material that provides information to the public



### **Objective 8.0 - Acquire title to private lands from willing sellers**

The incidental take permit requires the acquisition and management of riparian properties, specifically Condition K.1 requires the “acquisition of private lands in desert riparian habitats” along the Muddy River, Virgin River, and Meadow Valley Wash for covered avian species. Acquisition can be by purchase, exchange, transfer or donation.

The management actions to pursue acquisition of private lands from willing sellers include:

- 8.1 Identify priority parcels for purchase using Acquisition Selection Tool
- 8.2 Ensure clear title to property before proceeding with acquisition
- 8.3 Pursue acquisition of priority parcels
- 8.4 Pursue transfer of parcels held by local and state entities when available

### **Objective 9.0 - Acquire conservation easements to public and private lands from willing landowners as appropriate**

The incidental take permit requires the acquisition and management of riparian properties. Although Condition K.1 specifically requires the acquisition of “private lands” in desert riparian habitats along the Muddy River, Virgin River, and Meadow Valley Wash, opportunities for entering into conservation easements on public lands in addition with private landowners may also be an option.

The management actions to pursue conservation easements to public and private lands from willing landowners include:

- 9.1 Identify priority parcels for easements using Acquisition Selection Tool
- 9.2 Develop a conservation easement template
- 9.3 Pursue easements of priority parcels



## 4.0 REFERENCES

- BIO-WEST Inc. 2005. Meadow Valley Wash Final Baseline Ecological Assessment.
- Brock, A. L., and B. J. Buck. 2009. Polygenetic development of the Mormon Mesa, NV petrocalcic horizons: Geomorphic and paleoenvironmental interpretations. *Catena* 77:65-75.
- Bureau of Land Management. 1998. Record of Decision for the Approved Las Vegas Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement.
- Clark County. 2000a. Final Clark County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take of 79 Species in Clark County, Nevada.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2000b. Implementing Agreement, Clark County, Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.60.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2012. Northeast Clark County Land Use Plan.
- Clark County Regional Flood Control District. 2007. Town of Bunkerville Flood Control Master Plan Update.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2010. Muddy River and Tributaries Flood Control Master Plan Update.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2012. City of Mesquite Flood Control Master Plan Update.
- Comer, P., P. Crist, M. Reid, J. KHak, H. Hamilton, D. Braun, G. Kittel, I. Varley, B. Unnasch, S. Auer, M. Creutzburg, D. Theobald, and L. Kutner. 2013. Mojave Basin and Range Rapid Ecological Assessment Report.
- Crampton, L., J. Krueger, and D. D. Murphy. 2006. Conservation Management Strategy for Mesquite and Acacia Woodlands in Clark County, Nevada.
- Dixon, G. L., and T. C. Katzer. 2002. Geology and Hydrology of the Lower Virgin River Valley in Nevada, Arizona, and Utah.
- Entrix Inc. 2008. Virgin River Conservation Management Assessment.
- Ezzo, J. A. 1996. A Class I Cultural Resource Survey of the Moapa and Virgin Valleys, Clark County, Nevada. Statistical Research, Inc.
- Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program. 2004. Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program, Volume II: Habitat Conservation Plan.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2015. Web Soil Survey.
- Nevada Department of Agriculture. 2014. Noxious Weeds Categories.
- Nevada Department of Wildlife. 2014. Overton Wildlife Management Area Draft Conceptual Management Plan.
- Orr, B., T. L. Dudley, A. Lambert, D. Orr, and G. Leverich. 2013a. Clark County Mormon Mesa Parcel Restoration Plan. Stillwater Sciences.
- Orr, B., G. Leverich, and T. L. Dudley. 2013b. Mormon Mesa Ecohydrology Assessment Final Report. Stillwater Sciences.
- Provencher, L., S. Wainscott, and R. Andress. 2005. Integrated Science Assessment for the Upper Muddy River, Clark County, Nevada.
- Southern Nevada Water Authority. 2011. Warm Springs Natural Area Stewardship Plan.





- The National Invasive Species Council. 2006. Invasive Species Definition Clarification and Guidance White Paper.
- The Nature Conservancy of Nevada. 1999. Upper Muddy River Site Conservation Plan.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2000. Upper Muddy River Site Conservation Plan.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Intra-Service Biological and Conference Opinion on Issuance of an Incidental Take Permit to Clark County, Nevada for a Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2001. Clark County Desert Conservation Plan Permit PRT 801045.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2009. Desert National Wildlife Refuge Complex, Ash Meadows, Desert, Moapa Valley, and Pahrangat National Wildlife Refuges, Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement Summary – August 2009.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2013. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher. 78 Federal Register 344.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2014. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for the Western Distinct Population Segment of the Yellow-Billed Cuckoo. 79 Federal Register 48548.
- Van Linn, P. F., K. E. Nussear, T. C. Esque, L. A. DeFalco, R. D. Inman, and S. R. Abella. 2013. Estimating wildfire risk on a Mojave Desert landscape using remote sensing and field sampling. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 22:770.
- Wainscott, S. 2004. Draft Preliminary Management Plan for the Upper Muddy River Aquatic and Floodplain Habitat in Clark County, Nevada.
- Winters, M., and M. Johnson. 2004. Water Resources of the Virgin River Basin. Virgin Valley Water District.

# **Appendix A**

---

*Meets and Bounds Survey Descriptions*



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **Appendix B**

---

*Acquisition Selection Tool*



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Acquisition Selection Tool - Criteria and Questions

An acquisition selection tool was developed by the Desert Conservation Program (DCP) to guide decisions for acquiring title or easement to riparian properties from willing sellers and landowners. The tool is used to rank and prioritize properties based on specific environmental and administrative criteria, and the values derived by responding affirmatively or negatively to a series of questions that further define, describe, and explain each criterion. The criteria and questions are applied to each prospective property to arrive at a total value and then assigned a priority of 1, 2, or 3 based on what value range the property falls within. The criteria and questions and example value matrix are presented here.

### Administrative Criteria

- Ease of Management
  - Are lands difficult to access due to legal issues, safety or other reasons?
  - Are lands difficult to access due to difficult terrain?
  - Are there known land use practices, such as grazing, occurring on adjacent lands that will negatively affect habitat or species?
  - Does the property need a fence to keep out cattle?
  - Are agreements needed for water with irrigation districts or others?
- Complexity of Land Acquisition Process
  - Are there existing structures?
  - Is an environmental assessment likely needed due to underground storage tanks or other reasons?
  - Will the desired property require further parceling?
  - Will an additional private survey need to be conducted?
  - Is the property held in limbo due to the cadastral survey?
- Potential for Degradation of Habitat if not Purchased for Conservation
  - Is habitat in identified flood scour zone?
  - Is the habitat reasonably protected from degradation from existing or potential future land practices?

### Environmental Criteria

- Species Evaluation
  - Are SWFL currently present at the site?
  - Is there existing SWFL habitat on the site?
  - Is there SWFL occurrence data on desired land (occurrence data)?
  - Is there habitat or potential habitat on the property (NNHP SWFL)?
  - Is there habitat or potential habitat on the property (BOR SWFL) (wifl hab distr nad 83)?
  - Is there habitat or potential habitat on the property (NPS SWFL model)?



- Do other covered riparian birds occur at the site? If yes, complete species evaluation for each covered bird species.
- Proximity to Sensitive Lands
  - Does the desired property abut or is it adjacent to federal, state or conserved lands?
  - Does the desired property abut or is it adjacent to known or potential habitat for SWFL?
- Restoration Potential
  - Are plans in place or easily established for leveraging funds, projects to benefit habitat or species?
  - Does the desired property boundary border the Virgin or Muddy River?
  - Is there standing water at the site?
  - Is there access to water at the site via irrigation district or other means?
  - Does the desired property support native riparian trees or other native riparian wetland plants?
- Level of Disturbance
  - Are noxious weeds (such as tamarisk, tall whitetop) absent that would require treatment?
  - Is minimal restoration needed?
  - Is the desired property absent of infrastructure (such as roads, chemical tanks, out buildings, septic tanks, fences) that may require removal?



### Environmental Criteria - Example Value Matrix

property number	A001	A002	A003	A004	A005	A006									
<b>Species Evaluation (2 points for affirmative, 0 points for negative)</b>															
	0	2	0	2	0	0	Are SWFL currently present at the site ?								
	0	2	0	2	0	0	Is there existing SWFL habitat on the								
	0	0	0	2	0	0	Is there SWFL occurrence data on desired land (occurrence data)								
	0	0	2	2	0	0	Habitat or potential habitat on the property (NNHP SWFL)								
	2	2	2	2	0	0	Habitat or potential habitat on the property (BOR SWFL) (w/ll hab distr nad 83)								
	2	0	2	2	0	0	Habitat or potential habitat on the property (NPS SWFL model)								
	0	0	0	0	0	0	Do other covered riparian birds occur at the site? If yes, complete species evaluation for each covered bird species.								
total (14)	4	6	6	12	0	0									
<b>Proximity to Sensitive Lands (1 point for affirmative, 0 for negative)</b>															
	1	1	0	1	1	1	Does the desired property abut or is it adjacent to federal, state or conserved lands ?								
	1	1	1	1	0	0	Does the desired property abut or is it adjacent to known or potential habitat for S\								
total (2)	2	2	1	2	1	1									
<b>Restoration Potential (1 point for affirmative, 0 for negative)</b>															
	1	0	0	0	0	0	Are plans in place or easily established for leveraging funds, projects to benefit habitat or species?								
	1	1	0	1	0	1	Does the desired property boundary border the Virgin or Muddy River ?								
	1	1	0	0	0	1	Is there standing water								
	1	1	1	1	0	?	Is there access to water at the site via irrigation distri								
	1	1	1	1	0	0	Does the desired property support native riparian trees or other native riparian wetland plants?								
total (5)	4	4	2	3	0	2									
<b>Level of Disturbance (1 point for affirmative, 0 for negative)</b>															
	0	1	1	1	?	0	Are noxious weeds absent that will require treatment, such as tamarisk, tall whitetop?								
	0	1	0	0	0	0	Is minimal restoration needed?								
	1	1	1	1	0	1	Is the desired property absent of infrastructure that may require removal, such as roads, chemical tanks, out buildings, septic tanks, fences?								
total (3)	1	3	2	2	0	1									
<b>total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>									
<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Environmental Criteria Ranking</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: yellow;">17-24</td> <td>priority 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: yellow;">9-16</td> <td>priority 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: yellow;">0-8</td> <td>priority 3</td> </tr> </table>								Environmental Criteria Ranking		17-24	priority 1	9-16	priority 2	0-8	priority 3
Environmental Criteria Ranking															
17-24	priority 1														
9-16	priority 2														
0-8	priority 3														
							yes 1								
							no 0								





**Administrative Criteria – Example Value Matrix**

property number	A001	A002	A003	A004	
<b>Ease of Management no (1) yes (0)</b>					
	no	no	no	no	
	1	1	1	1	Are lands difficult to access due to legal issues, safety or other reasons ?
	1	1	1	1	Are lands difficult to access due to difficult terrain ?
	1	1	0	0	Are there known land use practices, such as grazing, occurring on adjacent land that will negatively affect the habitat or species?
	1	1	1	1	Does the property need a fence to keep out cattle?
	0	0	0	0	Are agreements needed for water with irrigation districts or others?
total (5)	4	4	3	3	
<b>Complexity of Land Acquisition Process no (1) yes (0)</b>					
	no				
	1	1	1	1	Are there existing structures?
	1	1	1	1	Is an environmental assessment likely needed due to underground storage tanks or other reasons?
	1	1	1	0	Will the desired property require further parceling ?
	?	?	?	0	Will an additional private survey need to be conducted?
	1	1	1	1	Is the property held in limbo due to the cadastral survey?
total (5)	4	4	4	3	
<b>Potential for Degradation of Habitat if not Purchased for Conservation no (1) yes (0)</b>					
	1	1	0	0	Is habitat in identified flood scour zone?
	0	0	1	1	Is the habitat reasonably protected from degradation from existing or potential future land practices?
total (2)	1	1	1	1	
<b>grand total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	

<b>Administrative Criteria Ranking</b>			
9-12	priority 1	no 1	desirable
5-8	priority 2	yes 0	undesirable
0-4	priority 3		

# **Appendix C**

---

*Permit Request Process*



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Requests for Third Party Activities on the Reserve Units (Version 1\_4, December 2012)

While the primary purpose of the Desert Conservation Program (DCP) Reserve System is to provide mitigation for loss of covered species and their habitat, there are a variety of other allowable activities in the reserve system. The types of allowable uses vary among the Reserve System units as do requirements for formal, written permission for certain activities. Some Reserve System units require written concurrence from landowners and/or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The below process will ensure that all requests for permission are properly evaluated, notifications are made, and request responses are tracked.

In general, activities on DCP reserve units that disturb the soil outside of open roads and trails, remove rocks, vegetation, seeds or require handling or removal of animals (including insects or spiders) require written permission from the County. Hunting is one exception – the DCP does not process hunting requests but defers to Nevada Department of Wildlife permits, rules, and regulations. Hunting is allowed in the reserve system where allowable by state law and local ordinances.

### Request Requirements

All requests must be made in writing or by email. Minimum request details include:

- Requestor name and contact info
- Location of the activity
- Date of the activity (range of dates is acceptable)
- Description and purpose of the activity
- Description of any ground or species disturbance
- Description of collection of plant/animal/mineral or other materials

### Request Review

The DCP and permittee staff will route all requests to the Adaptive Management Coordinator. The Coordinator will review the request, seek additional details from the requestor, and seek comments from DCP staff and other experts as necessary. The Coordinator will enter the request info in a central tracking sheet and will be responsible for filing information in the appropriate reserve management network drive folder. P:\DCP\Program Elements\Reserve Management\permission requests for use of reserves\

Before granting or declining any request, the Coordinator will consult the reserve unit management plan(s) for the affected area(s) and assess any existing approved activities. Coordination with other agencies and/or their written concurrence may be required before final decision is made on each request, and it is the responsibility of the Coordinator to seek and obtain required written concurrences.



### **Request Status Notification**

Approval or rejection of each request, along with any conditions on the request, will be provided to the requestor in writing and filed in the appropriate network drive folder and a notation made on the tracking sheet. At a minimum the Adaptive Management Coordinator will notify all DCP staff assigned to work in the affected reserve system unit and/or manage contracts affected by approved activities. Rejection of a request may be conveyed via email. Approval of a request should be made on the following form, and is not complete until the requestor returns a copy of the form with their signature and date.



## Request for Scientific Research and Collection Permission

Clark County Desert Conservation Program  
PO Box 551741  
Las Vegas, NV 89155-1741

[dcp@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:dcp@ClarkCountyNV.gov)  
Phone: (702) 455-3536  
Fax: (702) 382-4593

Requestor's name, email, phone number(s), and mailing address:

Permission is sought to conduct the following activities (describe or attach summary of methods description):

Dates requested:

Name of Desert Conservation Program reserve unit:

This permission is not valid until a countersigned and dated copy of this form is received from the Clark County Desert Conservation Program. The undersigned shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Clark County, Nevada and its officers, agents, and employees against any and all damages, claims, or causes of action arising from or in connection with the activities described on this form. The undersigned is responsible for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations. A copy of the final form must be with the undersigned at all times while conducting these activities on the reserve property.

## **Appendix D**

---

*Contact Information for Management, Safety, and Services*



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK





## Contacts

### Property Management, Safety, and Services

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>	<b>Purpose</b>
Desert Conservation Program	702-455-3536	Property Management
Clark County Fire Department	911	Fire – Emergency
		Medical – Emergency
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	911	Law Enforcement – Emergency
	311	Law Enforcement – Non-emergency
Nevada Highway Patrol	911	Traffic – Emergency
	702-486-4100	Southern Command
	702-864-2323	Southern Command – Moapa Substation
Bureau of Land Management	702-631-2350	Wildland Fire – Emergency
	702-515-5300	Interagency Communications Center – Non-emergency
	702-293-8932	Law Enforcement – Emergency
	702-293-8998	Law Enforcement – Non-emergency
Moapa Valley Water District	702-397-6893	Municipal Water Connection
Overton Power District	702-397-2512	Electrical Service Connection
	888-409-6735	Electrical Service Connection – Emergency

## **Appendix E**

---

### *Management Actions and Effectiveness Measures*



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Management Actions and Effectiveness Measures

The primary purpose of a management plan is to provide guidance for selecting management actions that support or meet management objectives, and ultimately achieve management goals. The management actions for the Riparian Reserve Units have been identified from day-to-day management operations, as well as other actions necessary to meet the objectives and goals for managing the reserve units.

The table includes the management objectives (noted as 1.0, 2.0, etc.) and the management actions (1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, etc.) related to each management objective. Some management actions can fulfill more than one objective, and are noted in parentheses after the management action title. Management actions can be separate individual activities or be interrelated with other actions and sequential in implementation.

Each management action is presented in the following table by the columns that include:

- **Management Action Description:** a brief description of what the action entails and why it is important.
- **Reserve Parcel or Location:** the location in the particular reserve unit and reserve parcel(s) where the management action will take place.
- **Timeframe:** the year or time period in which the action will take place, such as weekly, quarterly, 2015, or one year after a previous management action is complete.
- **Lead:** the agency or entity that is responsible for implementing the management action.
- **Permit(s):** the approvals, written permissions, or permits required to initiate the management action.
- **Priority:** the importance of the action in meeting the management objectives and determines the priority for resources.
  - VH (very high): an action that is essential for meeting the management objective and requires immediate implementation to protect the resource.
  - H (high): an action that is essential for meeting the management objective and requires implementation in the near future to protect the resource.
  - M (medium): an action that is important but not essential for meeting the management objective or protecting the resource, and does not require implementation in the near future.
  - L (low): an action that is not essential to meeting the management objective or protecting the resource, and can be delayed until funding is available.
- **Cost:** an estimate of the financial cost (DCP labor, contractor labor) to complete the management action, and generally coincides with Clark County contracting and acquisition limits.
  - L (low): < \$25,000
  - M (medium): \$25,000 to \$50,000



- H (high): \$50,000 to \$100,000
- VH (very high): > \$100,000
- **Effectiveness Measures:** a listing of metrics to be measured to assess the effectiveness (success) of the management action.
- **Frequency (of Effectiveness Measures):** when the effectiveness measures are reviewed, ranging from monthly to the end of a specific project.
- **Status:** current status and tracking of the management action.

The management action table is a tool to guide day-to-day management activities and is intended as a working document for the DCP to update, add to, and/or change actions as conditions warrant. Each update to the table should be tracked by entering a current date in the footer of the table.



Management Action Description	Location	Timeframe	Lead	Permit(s)	Priority	Cost	Effectiveness Measures	Frequency	Status
<b>1.0 Restore, create, and enhance habitat for riparian bird species</b>									
<b>1.1 Identify and prioritize locations for habitat restoration and enhancement</b>									
Determine sites that have the greatest potential for restoration and result in the most contiguous habitat	MR, VR units	2014 and ongoing	DCP		H	L	Completed assessment of sites for restoration	Upon completion of assessment	Process begun. Continue as new properties become available.
<b>1.2 Develop restoration plans for priority restoration sites</b>									
Establish restoration goals and objectives, assess site condition and restoration potential, restoration design includes plant materials, planting methods, and weed control	MR, VR, units	2015-2017 IPB and ongoing	DCP, contractor(s)		H	M	Plans are complete with goals and objectives for restoration and with all components needed for implementation	Upon completion of each restoration plan	Restoration Plan has been developed for VR 1
<b>1.3 Implement restoration plans</b>									
Implement restoration plans; prepare scope of work/contract; clear vegetation, plant vegetative materials as designed, and fence restoration sites to eliminate cattle trespass, if needed.	MR, VR units	Begin within 1 year after development of restoration plan	DCP, contractor(s)	As needed on a site specific and activity basis	H	H	Implementation meets restoration goals and objectives, contract requirements	Upon completion of each restoration project	Restoration has begun in VR 1, MR Parcel A, B and E
<b>1.4 Monitor and adaptively manage restored riparian habitat</b>									
Establish success criteria and monitoring schedule; assess success of restoration/enhancement against criteria; continue/augment restoration actions to maintain investment	MR, VR units	Quarterly assessments for first 2 years, then annually	DCP		H	M	Restoration/enhancement plantings and topographic modifications meet success criteria	Quarterly after restoration enhancement activities phase	Not started
<b>1.5 Collaborate collectively with others to manage riparian habitat restoration along the Muddy and Virgin Rivers</b>									
Collaborate with other agencies for sharing of information on successes, failures, and techniques	MR, VR units	As needed	DCP and other partners		H	L	Knowledge is shared supportive of habitat restoration	As needed	
<b>1.6 Assess opportunities to obtain water from irrigation districts to support restoration</b>									
Water from irrigation districts could be used in restoration projects to increase survival of planted materials	VR unit	2015-2017 IPB and ongoing	DCP		H	L	Water is obtained from irrigation districts for conservation purposes are assessed	End of project	Water from irrigation districts could be used in restoration projects to increase survival of planted materials
<b>1.7 When feasible, assess opportunities to establish in-lieu fee agreement with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for Clean Water Act permit mitigation options</b>									
Discuss requirements for in-lieu fee agreement with Corps; assess options and locations for establishing agreement	MR, VR units	Ongoing	DCP	Corps of Engineers	M	L	Decision to pursue in-lieu fee agreement	After assessment of options is completed	Discuss requirements for in-lieu fee agreement with Corps; assess options and locations for establishing agreement
<b>2.0 Manage habitat that benefit other MSHCP species</b>									
<b>2.1 Identify and prioritize sites for restoration</b>									
Determine sites that have the greatest potential for restoration and result in the most contiguous habitat	MR, VR units	2014	DCP		H	L	Completed assessment of sites for restoration	Upon completion of assessment	Process begun
<b>2.2 Develop restoration plans for priority restoration sites</b>									
Establish restoration goals and objectives, assess site condition and restoration potential, restoration design includes plant materials, planting methods, and weed control	MR, VR units.	2015-2017 IPB and ongoing	DCP, contractor(s)		H	M	Plans are complete with goals and objectives for restoration and with all components needed for implementation	Upon completion of each restoration plan	Restoration Plan has been developed for VR 1,
<b>2.3 Implement restoration plans</b>									
Implement restoration plans; prepare scope of work/contract; clear vegetation, plant vegetative materials as designed, and fence restoration sites to eliminate cattle trespass, if needed.	MR, VR units	Begin within 1 year after development of restoration plan	DCP, contractor(s)	As needed on a site specific and activity basis	H	H	Implementation meets restoration goals and objectives, contract requirements	Upon completion of each restoration project	



Management Action Description	Location	Timeframe	Lead	Permit(s)	Priority	Cost	Effectiveness Measures	Frequency	Status
<b>2.4 Monitor and adaptively manage restored habitat</b>									
Establish success criteria and monitoring schedule; assess success of restoration/enhancement against criteria; continue/augment restoration actions to maintain investment	MR, VR units	Quarterly assessments for first 2 years, then annually	DCP		H	M	Restoration/enhancement plantings and topographic modifications meet success criteria	Quarterly after restoration enhancement activities phase	
<b>3.0 Manage habitat to avoid harm to aquatic species</b>									
<b>3.1 Coordinate with USFWS on management actions to avoid negative effects to the Moapa dace population</b>									
Obtain input from USFWS on management actions that may impact the river system. Determine which management actions require input from USFWS.	MR unit	Prior to restoration activities that impact the river	DCP		H	L	Negative effects from restoration and land management are avoided	Prior to restoration activities that impact the river	
<b>4.0 Manage reserve units to control invasive plant species and noxious weeds</b>									
<b>4.1 Conduct control activities for invasive species and noxious weeds</b>									
Prepare contracts, schedule, and implement control activities	MR, VR units	Ongoing	DCP, contractor/NPS		H	L	Treatment/eradication is completed and meets goals and objectives or plan and contract requirements	After treatment is completed	ongoing
<b>4.2 Adaptively manage the control of invasive species and noxious weeds</b>									
Establish monitoring schedule; assess success of treatment/eradication against criteria; schedule additional treatments as needed	MR, VR units	As prescribed in weed control contracts	DCP, contractor/NPS		H	L	Monitor to area and/or numbers to assess eradication or reduction	Seasonally	ongoing
<b>5.0 Manage reserve units to reduce threat of fire and maintain safe conditions</b>									
<b>5.1 Maintain existing fire breaks and establish new fire breaks when necessary</b>									
Fire breaks control the spatial spread of fire and protects the conservation values of the parcels and the property of adjacent landowners. Prepare contracts, schedule, and implement the maintenance or establishment of fire breaks.	MR, VR units	Annually or as needed	DCP, Contractor		H	M	Fire breaks control the spatial spread of fire and protects the conservation values of the parcels and the property of adjacent landowners	Ongoing	ongoing
<b>6.0 Manage property rights and property infrastructure</b>									
<b>6.1 Update title to and use of groundwater rights</b>									
The certificated groundwater rights must be changed for title and for use for wildlife purposes.	MR Parcels C-F	2015-2017 IPB	DCP, Contractor		VH	M	Groundwater rights are certificated to Clark County for wildlife uses	After certificate is received	
<b>6.2 Maintain functional municipal water connection and maintain pump equipment</b>									
Inspect Moapa Valley Water District water connection backflow device, pay monthly connection fees	MR Parcels A-C	Annually and monthly respectively	DCP, Contractor		VH	M	Functional municipal water connection that meets restoration needs	Annually and Monthly respectively	
<b>6.3 Identify presence and location of operational and abandoned underground utilities (water, sewer, electric)</b>									
Determine likely locations for utilities; prepare contract, schedule, and implement action to search and assess the underground utilities.	MR Parcels A-E	2015-2017 IPB	DCP, Contractor		M	L-M	Presence and location of underground utilities are identified	End of project/inspection	
<b>6.4 Maintain existing fencing and add additional fencing if needed</b>									
Inspect existing fencing on a continual basis, repair fences when needed; assess reserve units for additional fencing needs and installation as needed	MR, VR units	Weekly	DCP, Contractor		H	L	Location, length, and percent of fencing inspected. Length and percent of fencing needing repair. Completion of repairs, time period between notification and repair.	Weekly	ongoing



Management Action Description	Location	Timeframe	Lead	Permit(s)	Priority	Cost	Effectiveness Measures	Frequency	Status
<b>6.5 Obtain permission to cross private lands to access reserve units</b>									
Determine the timing, frequency, and extent of access needed; contact owners of property where access is needed; obtain written permission for access	MR, VR units	ongoing	DCP		VH	L	Permission is obtained to cross private lands to access properties	When permission is received	
<b>6.6 Identify options for road access to Virgin River Reserve Unit, Subunit 1</b>									
Determine the timing, frequency, and extent of access needed; contact owners of property where access is needed; obtain written permission for access	VR Parcel	2015	DCP		H	L	Road access is obtained to Virgin River Parcel 1	End of project	
<b>6.7 Maintain road access to the Muddy River Reserve Unit</b>									
Monitor condition of access roads; determine type of maintenance needed for safe conditions; conduct maintenance. Weekly to parcels A-E, monthly F-I	MR unit	Weekly and monthly	DCP, Contractor		H	L	Road access is maintained to all Muddy River Reserve Unit parcels	Weekly and monthly	
<b>6.8 Maintain relationships and coordinate with adjacent landowners to protect conservation values of the reserve units</b>									
Communicate (formal and/or informal, as appropriate) with landowners regarding property management actions, issues, and ongoing and pending projects	MR, VR units	As needed	DCP		H	L	Relationships with adjacent landowners is reviewed annually	Annually, at year end	
<b>7.0 Build and maintain positive relationships in the community</b>									
<b>7.1 Maintain positive interactions with neighbors</b>									
Engage with neighbors when safely provided the opportunity	MR, VR parcels	Ongoing	DCP		H	L	Good relationship is maintained	When possible	ongoing
<b>7.2 Perform general maintenance on reserve units as needed</b>									
Identify and implement maintenance activities, direct maintenance contractor as needed	MR, VR units	ongoing	DCP, Contractor		M	L-H	Maintenance activities are performed	As needed	ongoing
<b>7.3 Be responsive to community concerns related to the reserve units</b>									
Listen to and respond to concerns from members of the community if appropriate	As identified	ongoing	DCP, contractor		M	H-VH	Community concerns are addressed	As needed	ongoing
<b>7.4 Prepare public information material that provides property information to the public</b>									
Public information can include webpage, signs, interpretive materials that discuss allowable uses, property boundary, resource values and purpose	MR, VR units	Review biannually	DCP, contractor		M	L-M	Current webpage and other materials	Biannually	ongoing
<b>8.0 Acquire title to private lands from willing sellers</b>									
<b>8.1 Identify priority parcels for purchase using Acquisition Selection Tool</b>									
Use the Acquisition Section Tool to assess the priority of parcels when offered by willing sellers	MR, VR habitat	As parcels are offered by willing sellers	DCP		H	L	Properties offered by willing sellers are assessed with the Acquisition Selection Tool within 3 months of being offered and a decision on acquisition provided within 6 months of being offered	As parcels are offered by willing sellers	ongoing
<b>8.2 Ensure clear title to property before proceeding with acquisition</b>									
Coordinate with County Surveyor to review property boundaries and deeds to ensure clear title; review cadastral survey when complete	MR, VR habitat	After lands have been prioritized	DCP, County Surveyor		VH	L	Decision on clear title from County Surveyor	As parcels are offered by willing sellers	ongoing
<b>8.3 Pursue acquisition of priority parcels</b>									
Conduct appraisal, determine fair market value, make offer, negotiate as needed	VR, MR as identified in in Priority Ranking Tool	After clear title has been determined	DCP		H	L-VH	Decision on acquisition	As parcels are offered by willing sellers	ongoing





Management Action Description	Location	Timeframe	Lead	Permit(s)	Priority	Cost	Effectiveness Measures	Frequency	Status
8.4 Pursue acquisition of parcels held by local and state entities when available									
Identify parcels held by County Treasurer or other Departments, other municipalities, or state; discuss planned or future needs for parcels to determine best use or benefit to County; transfer management responsibility to DCP.	MR, VR habitats	ongoing	DCP,		H	L	Decision on acquisition	As parcels are available	ongoing
<b>9.0 Acquire conservation easements to public and private lands from willing landowners as appropriate</b>									
9.1 Identify priority parcels for conservation easements using Acquisition Selection Tool									
Evaluate feasibility of conservation easement; use the Acquisition Section Tool to assess the priority of parcels when offered by willing sellers	MR, VR habitats	As parcels are offered by willing landowners	DCP		H	L	Easements offered by willing landowners are assessed with the Acquisition Selection Tool	As parcels are offered by willing landowners	
9.2 Develop a conservation easement template									
Develop an easement template that outlines approved and prohibited actions, access for monitoring, and communication schedule	As identified	After priority parcels are identified	DCP, Legal		H	L	Completed conservation easement template	Completion of template	
9.3 Pursue easements of priority parcels									
Negotiate terms of easement.	As identified	When appropriate	DCP, Legal		H	L-H	Decision on easements provided within 12 months of being contacted	After easement granted	